

# Appendix 1 Hovedkarakteristika for inkluderede studier

# Studier med erenumab

## TABEL 1 STUDIE 295 (CM, TEPPER 2017)

Trial name	A Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Erenumab (AMG 334) in Chronic Migraine Prevention		
NCT number	NCT02066415		
Objective	To evaluate the effect of erenumab compared to placebo on the change from baseline in the number of monthly migraine days in adults with chronic migraine.		
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Safety and efficacy of erenumab for preventive treatment of chronic migraine: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 2 trial. Tepper S, et al. Lancet Neurol. 2017		
	Efficacy and safety of erenumab (AMG334) in chronic migraine patients with prior preventive treatment failure: A subgroup analysis of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Ashina M, et al. Cephalalgia 2018		
	Early onset of efficacy with erenumab in patients with episodic and chronic migraine. Schwedt T, et al. J Headache Pain 2018		
	Efficacy of erenumab in patients with chronic migraine achieving ≥50% Response: Subgroup analysis of a double-blind, randomized study. Dolezil D, et al. MTIS 2018, 17th biennial Migraine Trust International Symposium, London, UK, 06–09 Sep. 2018. Digital poster MTIS2018-110		
	Patient-reported outcomes in chronic migraine patients with prior prophylactic treatment failure receiving placebo or erenumab: Subgroup analysis of a pivotal randomized study. Lanteri-Minet M, et al. MTIS 2018, 17th biennial Migraine Trust International Symposium, London, UK, 06–09 Sep. 2018. Digital poster MTIS2018-066		
	Efficacy of erenumab for the treatment of patients with chronic migraine in presence of medication overuse. Tepper SJ, et al. 18th International Headache Congress, Vancouver, Canada, 07-10 Sep. 2017. Digital poster EO-01-013		
Study type and design	This was a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group phase 2 study. trial. Enrolled patients were assigned 3:2:2 to placebo, erenumab 70 mg or erenumab 140 mg monthly for 3 months (12 weeks) via interactive response technology. The investigators, patients and sponsor were masked to treatment assignment. Participants who completed the 12-week double-blind treatment phase of Study 20120295 were eligible to enroll in an open-label extension study (Study 20130255; NCT02174861). The study is completed.		
Follow-up time	Patients were followed up for safety for 12 weeks after end of treatment. Results from the 12 week double-blind phase of the study are presented here.		
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Inclusion Criteria:</li> <li>History of at least 5 attacks of migraine without aura and/or migraine with visual sensory, speech and/or language, retinal or brainstem aura.</li> </ul>		



	Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, m	-		
	Data are mean (SD) or number (%). D set. Some percentages do not total 1			
	Monthly migraine attacks	5,4 (1,5)	5,4 (1,5)	
	Monthly migraine days	8,8 (2,7)	8,6 (2,5)	
	Other	6 (4%)	13 (12%)	
	Treatment failure†	60 (38%)	34 (32%)	
	therapies	(0. (2.00/)	24 (220/)	
	Previous	66 (41%)	47 (44%)	
	Naive	94 (59%)	60 (56%)	
	Previous preventive therapy	==;, (==;;)		
	Disease duration (years)	20,7 (11,5)	21,5 (11,7)	
	Age at migraine onset (years) (SD)	21,2 (10,9)	21,7 (9,9)	
	Europe	75 (47%)	49 (46%)	
	North America	85 (53%)	58 (54%)	
	Region	5 (270)	- (-/-)	
	Other*	3 (2%)	1 (1%)	
	Black Asian	13 (8%) 2 (1%)	2 (2%)	
	White	142 (89%)	103 (96%)	
	Ethnic origin			
	Body-mass index (kg/m2)	25,9 (4,9)	25,8 (4,9)	
	Men	28 (18%)	25 (23%)	
	Women	132 (83%)	82 (77%)	
	Age (years) (SD) Sex	41,4 (10,0)	42,4 (9,9)	
		(n=160)	(n=107)	
		Placebo	Erenumab 70 mg	
Baseline characteristics				
	by subcutaneous injections. The study is completed.			
	609 patients continued in the follow			
	and at weeks 4 and 8 by in the dou	•		
Intervention	656 patients were randomly assign and erenumab 140 mg (n=187). Pa			
latan wati		-	avenue 70 ( ( ( (	
	Used a prohibited migraine pro- months prior to the start of th		device or procedure within 2	
	Received botulinum toxin in he screening.	ead or neck region with	in 4 months prior to	
	of migraine.			
	<ul> <li>Unable to differentiate migrain</li> <li>Failed &gt; 3 medication categori</li> </ul>			
	History of cluster headache or			
	Exclusion Criteria:			
	Demonstrated at least 80% co	mpliance with the eDia	ry.	
	with use of a triptan or ergot-derivative on the same calendar day based on the eDiary calculations.			
	• $\geq$ 4 distinct headache episodes, each lasting $\geq$ 4 hours OR if shorter, associated			
	<ul> <li>History of ≥ 15 headache days per month of which ≥ 8 headache days were assessed by the subject as migraine day.</li> </ul>			



Primary and secondary	Primary Endpoint:		
endpoints	Change From Baseline in Monthly Migraine Days		
chapolitis	Secondary Endpoints:		
	<ul> <li>Percentage of Participants With at Least a 50% Reduction in Monthly Migraine</li> </ul>		
	Days From Baseline		
	<ul> <li>Change From Baseline in Monthly Acute Migraine-specific Medication Treatment</li> </ul>		
	Days		
	Number of Participants With Adverse Events		
	Number of Participants Who Developed Antibodies to Erenumab		
Method of analysis	The randomisation analysis set included all patients who were randomly assigned to		
	treatment or placebo in the study. The efficacy analysis set included patients in the		
	randomisation analysis set who received at least one dose of investigational product		
	and completed at least one post-baseline monthly electronic diary measurement. For		
	all analyses, patients were analysed according to the randomised treatment.		
	A sequential testing procedure, specifically the hierarchical gate-keeping procedures		
	and Hochberg method, was used to maintain the two-sided study-wise type I error at		
	0.05 for the two erenumab doses and the primary and secondary endpoints. The test		
	for erenumab superiority in the primary endpoint (change from baseline in mean		
	monthly migraine days) was tested separately at a significance level of 0.04 for the		
	erenumab 70 mg group and 0.01 for the erenumab 140 mg group. If the primary		
	endpoint was significantly different from placebo at each dose level, the secondary		
	endpoints were to be tested separately using the Hochberg method at the same		
	significance levels. If the secondary endpoints were significantly different for an		
	erenumab treatment group compared with placebo, the corresponding significance		
	level was to be carried over to the hypothesis testing of the primary endpoint for the		
	other erenumab treatment group, if it was not significantly different from placebo		
	under the original significance level (0.04 for the 70 mg group and 0.01 for the 140 mg		
	group). If the secondary endpoints were negatively correlated, the Holm method was		
	used for the corresponding tests rather than the Hochberg method. For the primary		
	endpoint at week 12, the least-squares mean at each timepoint was calculated with a		
	linear mixed effects model including treatment group, baseline monthly migraine days,		
	stratification factors (region [North America vs Europe] and medication overuse		
	[presence vs absence]), scheduled visit, and the interaction of treatment group with		
	scheduled visit, without any imputation for missing data. The continuous secondary		
	endpoints were analysed with the same method as for the primary endpoint. We		
	reported the least-squares mean change from baseline for each treatment group,		
	treatment difference compared with placebo, 95% CI, and p values for pairwise		
	comparison. For the 50% responder secondary endpoint, we used a stratified Cochran-		
	Mantel-Haenszel test after the missing data were imputed as non-response. We		
	reported adjusted odds ratios (OR) compared with placebo, 95% CI, and p values.		
	The safety analysis set included all randomly assigned patients who received at least		
	one dose of investigational product. For all analyses, patients were analyzed according to the randomized treatment.		
Subgroup analyses	Pre-specified (failed $\geq 1$ and failed $\geq 2$ ) and post-hoc (failed $\geq 3$ ) subgroup analyses were		
	conducted, based on number of prior treatment failure(s). Effect (change in monthly		
	migraine days, MMD, and MSMD and $\geq$ 50% and $\geq$ 75 responder rates) in patients who		
	had failed $\geq 1$ , $\geq 2$ ) or $\geq 3$ prior treatments due to lack of efficacy and/or tolerability was		
	compared to that of the overall study population. For continuous endpoints, adjusted		
	analyses utilized a generalized linear mixed model, which included treatment, visit,		
	treatment by visit interaction, the two stratification factors (region and medication		
	overuse status) and baseline value as covariates, and assumed a first-order		
	autoregressive covariance structure. Observed data were used in analyses without		
	imputation for missing data. For dichotomous endpoints, odds ratios were estimated		



from a stratified Cochran- Mantel-Haenszel test after imputation of missing data as nonresponse. The main study was not designed or powered to compare differences in efficacy between subgroups.
A post-hoc analysis was conducted, based on responders versus non-responders (response defined as ≥50% reduction in MMD). Effect (MMDs, migraine-specific medication treatment days (MSMD), the Headache Impact Test (HIT-6 <sup>™</sup> ) scores, Migraine Disability Assessment (MIDAS) scores, and Migraine-Specific Questionnaire (MSQ) scores) was compared between responders and non-responders. Furthermore, a subgroup analysis was performed in patients with medication overuse at baseline. Data were presented in congres abstracts.

# TABEL 2 STRIVE (EM, GOADSBY 2017)

Trial name	Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Erenumab (AMG 334) in Migraine Prevention (STRIVE)			
NCT number	NCT02456740			
Objective	The primary objective of the study was to evaluate the effect of erenumab compared to placebo on the change from baseline in monthly migraine days.			
Publications – title, author, journal, year	A Controlled Trial of Erenumab for Episodic Migraine, <u>Goadsby PJ</u> et al. NEJM 2017			
	Migraine-related disability, impact, and health-related quality of life among patients			
	with episodic migraine receiving preventive treatment with erenumab. <u>Buse DC</u> , et al. Cephalalgia 2018			
	Early onset of efficacy with erenumab in patients with episodic and chronic migraine. <u>Schwedt T</u> , et al. Journal of Headache and Pain 2018			
	Efficacy Outcomes in Responder and Non-responder Patients With Episodic Migraine			
	Efficacy Outcomes in Responder and Non-responder Patients With Episodic Migraine			
	Treated Preventively With Erenumab in the STRIVE Study. Brössner G, et al. 2018, 17th			
	biennial Migraine Trust International Symposium, London, UK, 06–09 Sep. Digital			
	poster. Link til abstract: MTIS2018-074 side 136			
Study type and design	This was a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group phase 3 trial. Enrolled patients were assigned 1:1:1 to placebo or erenumab 70 mg or 140 mg monthly for 6 months (24 weeks) by centrally executed randomization with the use of an interactive voice or Web response system. Randomization was stratified by region and according to the use of migraine-preventive medication. The investigators, patients and sponsor were masked to treatment assignment. Following the double-blind treatment, patients were re-randomized 1:1 to continue treatment with either 70 mg or 140 mg erenumab every 4 weeks until week 48 with actual dose blinded. The study is completed.			
Follow-up time	Following completion of the open-treatment phase of the study (28 weeks), patients were followed up for safety for 12 weeks. Results from the double-blind phase of the study are presented here.			
Population (inclusion and	Inclusion Criteria:			
exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>History of migraine (with or without aura) for ≥ 12 months prior to screening according to the International Headache Society (IHS) International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD-3) classification</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Migraine frequency: ≥ 4 and &lt; 15 migraine days per month on average across the 3 months prior to screening and during baseline</li> </ul>			



	<ul> <li>Headache frequency: &lt; 15 headache days per month on average across the 3 months prior to screening and baseline</li> </ul>			
	• Demonstrated at least 80% compliance with the eDiary.			
	Exclusion Criteria:			
	Older than 50 years of age at migraine onset			
	<ul> <li>History of cluster headache or hemiplegic migraine headache</li> </ul>			
		-		
		onse with > 2 medica ne after an adequate		rophylactic
	-	edication, device, or phase or during the		months prior to the
	effects within 2 mon baseline phase. If on	2 or more medication oths prior to the start of 1 prophylactic mea or to the start of the l	of the baseline phase dication is used, the	se or during the dose must be stable
Intervention	A total of 955 patients underwent randomization (317 to the 70 mg erenumab group, 319 to the 140 mg erenumab group, and 319 to the placebo group), and 858 patients (89.8%) completed the 6-month double-blind treatment phase. 845 patients entered the open-treatment phase and were re-randomized 1:1 to either 70 mg erenumab (n=421) and 140 mg erenumab (n=424). Treatment was given by subcutaneous injection every 4 weeks.			
Baseline characteristics				
	Characteristics	Placebo (N=319)	Erenumab, 70 mg (N=317)	Erenumab, 140 mg (N=319)
	Age (range) – yr	41,3 <u>+</u> 11,2 (18-65)	41,1 <u>+</u> 11,3(18-63)	40,4 <u>+</u> 11,1(19-65)
	Female sex – no. (%)	274 (85,9)	268 (84,5)	272 (85,3)
	Geographic region – no.			
	(%) North America	158 (49,5)	150 (50,2)	160 (50,2)
	Other <sup>†</sup>	161 (50,5)	158 (49,8)	159 (49,8)
	Age at migraine onset –	21,2 <u>+</u> 10,2	21,4 <u>+</u> 11,0	20,7 <u>+</u> 9,9
	yr Acute headache			
	medication use – no.			
	Migraine-specific‡	191 (59.9)	179 (56.5)	192 (60.2)
	Non-migraine- specific	244 (76.5)	243 (76.7)	256 (80.3)
	Migraine-preventive medication use – no.			
	(%)§ No current or previous use	178 (55.8)	175 (55.2)	187 (58.6)
	Previous use only	131 (41.1)	133 (42.0)	124 (38.9)
	Current use¶	10 (3.1)	9 (2.8)	8 (2.5)
	History of preventive treatment failure – no.	127 (39.8)	127 (40.1)	116 (36.4)
	(%)   Lack of efficacy	90 (28.2)	89 (28.1)	83 (26.0)
	Unacceptable side Effects	78 (24.5)	65 (20.5)	62 (19.4)
	HTTOCTC	1		
	Assessment of migraine during baseline phase			



	Migraine days per Month	8,2±2,5	8,3±2,5	8,3±2,5	
	Headache days per month	9,3±2,6	9,1±2,6	9,3±2,5	
	Migraine attacks per Month	5,1±1,5	5,2±1,5	5,2±1,4	
	Days of use of acute migraine-specific medication per month‡	3,4±3,4	3,2±3,4	3,4±3,5	
	Monthly MPFID everyday-activities score**	13,7±9,1	14,0±8,9	13,1±8,3	
	Monthly MPFID physical-impairment score**	12,2±9,4	12,6±9,6	12,0±9,0	
	Plus-minus values are mea who underwent randomize	ation).			
	<ul> <li>There were no significant between-group differences in baseline characterimay not total 100 because of rounding.</li> <li>† Other includes Austria, Belgium, the Czech Republic, Finland, Germany, the Poland, Slovakia, Sweden, Turkey, and the United Kingdom.</li> <li>‡ During the baseline phase, 557 patients (58.5%) used triptan-based medicipatients (0.4%) used ergotamine-based medications (safety analysis set).</li> <li>§ The summary of treatment with migraine-preventive medications is base collected rather than on randomization stratification.</li> <li>¶ The use of one stable migraine-preventive medication was allowed, in acclate protocol amendment. Three patients (0.3%) used topiramate; 7 (0.7%) blockers; 7 (0.7%) used tricyclic antidepressants; 4 (0.4%) used serotonin-m reuptake inhibitors; 1 (0.1%) used flunarizine, verapamil, or lomerizine; 2 (0 lisinopril or candesartan; and 3 (0.3%) used other medications.</li> <li>   Treatment-failure categories were not mutually exclusive; a patient could both categories.</li> <li>** The Migraine Physical Function Impact Diary (MPFID) contains a 7-item of domain and a 5-item physical-impairment domain, as well as a global quest overall effect of migraines. The scores were averaged over a period of 1 mor linearly transformed to a 100-point scale, with higher scores representing greater migraine burden on functioning.</li> </ul>			edications and 4 ). ased on actual data a accordance with a 7%) used beta n–norepinephrine 2 (0.2%) used wild be included in em everyday-activities uestion to assess the month and then	
Primary and secondary endpoints	<ul> <li>Primary Endpoint:</li> <li>Change From Baselin the Double-blind Tre</li> </ul>	-	Migraine Days to the	Last 3 Months of	
	Secondary Endpoints:				
	<ul> <li>Percentage of Participants With at Least a 50% Reduction From Baseline in Monthly Migraine Days in the Last 3 Months of the Double-blind Treatment Phase (50% response rate)</li> <li>Change From Baseline in Monthly Acute Migraine-specific Medication Treatment</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Days to the Last 3 Months of the Double-blind Treatment Period</li> <li>Change From Baseline in Mean Monthly Average Physical Impairment Domain Score Measured by MPFID in the Last 3 Months of the Double-blind Treatment Phase</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Change From Baselin Score Measured by N Phase</li> </ul>				



Method of analysis	The full analysis set in the final protocol included all the patients who underwent randomization. The efficacy end points are reported with the use of the following efficacy analysis set: patients who received at least one dose of erenumab or placebo and had at least one postbaseline measurement for migraine days per month during the double-blind treatment phase, analyzed according to randomly assigned trial regimen. The efficacy analysis set meets the criteria for a full analysis set. The primary end point and continuous secondary end points were analyzed with the use of a linear mixed-effects model without any imputation of with multiple imputation under missing-at-random and missing-not-at-random assumptions. For the secondary end point of a 50% or greater reduction in mean migraine days per month, a stratified Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel test was used after imputation of missing data. The significance of the between-group differences with regard to the primary and secondary end points was determined after multiplicity adjustment with a prespecified hierarchical gatekeeping procedure and Hochberg-based testing procedures to maintain the two-sided, study-wise, type I error rate at an alpha level of 0.05. The primary end point was tested separately for each erenumab dose at an alpha level of 0.04 for 70 mg and of 0.01 for 140 mg. First-tier and second-tier secondary end points were then tested sequentially. The safety analysis set included all the patients who underwent randomization and received at least one dose of erenumab or placebo, analyzed according to randomly assigned trial presend of the primary and points were then tested sequentially.
Subgroup analyses	<ul> <li>A post-hoc subgroup analysis was conducted, based on number of prior treatment failure(s). Effect (change in monthly migraine days, MMD, and ≥50% responder rate) in patients who had failed ≥1 (n=369) or ≥2 (n=161) prior treatments due to lack of efficacy and/or tolerability was compared to that of the overall study population. P values for subgroup analysis are descriptive and not adjusted for multiple comparisons. Furthermore, subgroup analyses were performed in patients achieving or not achieving response, defined by ≥50% reduction from baseline in MMD. Data were presented in abstracts.</li> </ul>

# TABEL 3 ARISE (EM, DODICK 2018)

Trial name	Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Erenumab (AMG 334) Compared to Placebo in Migraine Prevention (ARISE).
NCT number	NCT02483585
Objective	To evaluate the effect of erenumab compared to placebo on the change from baseline in monthly migraine days, in adults with episodic migraine.
Publications – title, author, journal, year	ARISE: A Phase 3 randomized trial of erenumab for episodic migraine, Dodick et al, Cephalalgia, 2018.
Study type and design	This was a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group phase 3 trial. Enrolled patients were assigned 1:1 to placebo or erenumab 70 mg monthly for 3 months (12 weeks) by centrally executed randomization with the use of an interactive response system. The investigators, patients and sponsor were masked to treatment assignment. Following the double-blind treatment, all patients continued on 70 mg until week 36. The study is completed.
Follow-up time	A safety follow-up visit was completed 12 weeks after last dose of investigational product. Results from the double-blind phase of the study are presented here.



Population (inclusion and	Inclusion Criteria:			
exclusion criteria)	• History of migraines (with or without aura) for $\geq$ 12 months			
exclusion encertay	<ul> <li>Migraine frequency: ≥ 4 and &lt; 15 migraine days per month on average across the</li> </ul>			
	3 months prior to screening			
	-	-	e) frequency: < 15 headache	
		ge across the 3 months prio		
	<ul> <li>Demonstrated compliance</li> </ul>			
	Exclusion Criteria:	e with the ebiary		
		e at migraine onset		
	<ul> <li>Older than 50 years of age at migraine onset.</li> <li>History of cluster headache or hemiplegic migraine headache.</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Unable to differentiate migraine from other headaches</li> </ul>			
		-		
		with > 2 categories for prop to the range tic trial	onviactic treatment of	
	migraine after an adequa	-	ible migneire e revenhadestie	
		nore medications with poss		
		rior to the start of the base		
			sed, the dose must be stable	
			ase and throughout the study	
	-	-	within 2 months prior to the	
		e or during the baseline pha	ase.	
	Received botulinum toxin			
	<ul> <li>Anticipated to require any excluded medication, device, or procedure during the study.</li> </ul>			
	study.			
	• Active chronic pain syndromes (such as fibromyalgia and chronic pelvic pain).			
	History of major psychiatric disorder.			
	History of seizure disorder or other significant neurological conditions other than			
	migraine.			
	<ul> <li>Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection by history.</li> </ul>			
	Myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA), unstable			
	angina, or coronary artery bypass surgery or other revascularization procedure			
	within 12 months prior to screening.			
	• The subject is at risk of self-harm or harm to others. Previously randomized into			
	an AMG 334 study.			
	Unlikely to be able to complete all protocol required study visits or procedures,			
	and/or to comply with all required study procedures.			
Intervention	A total of 577 patients underwent randomization (286 to 70 mg erenumab and 291 to			
	placebo), and 546 patients (95			
	phase. 538 patients entered t	he open-treatment phase w	where all patients were	
	treated with 70 mg erenumab	. Treatment was given by s	ubcutaneous injection every 4	
	weeks.			
Baseline characteristics				
		Placebo	Erenumab 70 mg	
		n=291	n=286	
	Age, years	42 <u>+</u> 12	42 <u>+</u> 11	
	Female sex, no (%) White race, no (%) <sup>+</sup>	247 (84,9)	245 (85,7)	
	Weight, kg	259 (89,0) 76 <u>+</u> 19	259 (90,6) 77 <u>+</u> 19	
	Body-mass index‡			
	Age at onset of migraine,	27,4 <u>+</u> 6,1 22 <u>+</u> 11	27,4 <u>+</u> 6,3 21 <u>+</u> 10	
	years	22 <u>+</u> 11	21710	
	Duration of disease, years	20+12	22 <u>+</u> 13	
	History of aura (yes), no (%)	144 (49,5)	146 (51,0)	
	Prior prophylactic therapy			
	(yes), no (%)			
	Naïve	150 (51,5)	144 (50,3)	



	Prior use only	125 (43,0)	123 (43,0)	
	Current use	16 (5,5)	123 (43,0)	
	Acute headache medication	10 (0,0)	13 (0,0)	
	use no (%)			
	no (%)	283 (97,3)	280 (97,9)	
	Migraine-specific	174 (59,8)	178 (62,2)	
	Non-migraine-specific	236 (81,1)	224 (78,3)	
	History of any prior	132 (45,4)	134 (46,9)	
	preventive treatment use,	(`,`,		
	no (%)			
	History of any prior	115 (87,1)	117 (87,3)	
	preventive treatment			
	failure§			
	Baseline period			
	Monthly migraine days	8,4 <u>+</u> 2,6	8,1 <u>+</u> 2,7	
	Monthly migraine	5,2+1,5	5,1 <u>+</u> 1,5	
	attacks	-,- <u>-</u> -,-	-,,-	
	Monthly headache days	9,3 <u>+</u> 2,7	9,1 <u>+</u> 2,7	
	Monthly acute	3,4 <u>+</u> 3,6	3,7 <u>+</u> 3,6	
	migraine specific	-,,-	-,,-	
	medication days			
	Monthly MPFID impact	13,2 <u>+</u> 8,9	12,6 <u>+</u> 8,6	
	on everyday activities	· <u> </u> ·		
	score¶			
	Monthly MPFID physical	11,5 <u>+</u> 9,2	10,8 <u>+</u> 9,1	
	impairment score¶			
	*Plus-minus values are mean standard deviation.			
	*Race was self-reported.			
	‡The body-mass index is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in			
	meters.			
	§Failure due to lack of efficacy or poor tolerability.			
	¶MPFID scores range from 0–100, with higher scores indicating greater impact.			
	¶MPFID: Migraine Physical Function	on Impact Diary.		
Primary and secondary	Primary Endpoint:			
endpoints	Change From Baseline in Monthly Migraine Days at Week 12			
	Secondary Endpoints:			
	<ul> <li>Percentage of Participants With at Least a 50% Reduction From Baseline in</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Percentage of Participants with at Least a 50% Reduction From Baseline in Monthly Migraine Days at Week 12 (≥50% responders)</li> </ul>			
	Change From Baseline in Monthly Acute Migraine-specific Medication Treatment     Drug at Work 42			
	Days at Week 12			
	Percentage of Participants With at Least a 5-point Reduction From Baseline in			
	Average Impact on Everyday Activities Domain Score Measured by MPFID at Week			
	12			
	Percentage of Participants With at Least a 5-Point Reduction From Baseline in			
	Average Impact on Physical Impairment Domain Score Measured by MPFID at Week 12			
	Number of Participants Witl	h Adverse Events		
	-		to oronumoh	
	Number of Participants Who			
Method of analysis	The full analysis set included all		-	
	efficacy analysis set included all patients who received at least one dose of			
	randomized treatment and had at least one change from baseline measurement for			
	MMD during the double-blind treatment phase, analyzed according to randomized			
	treatment.			
	Continuous variables were analyzed using a linear mixed effects model including			
	treatment group, baseline value	-	_	
	si catilicate Broup, Subclinic Value,	,		



	<ul> <li>medication status), scheduled visit, and the interaction of treatment group with scheduled visit, without any imputation for missing data.</li> <li>Dichotomous variables were analyzed using a stratified Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test and using non-responder imputation, in which missing data was assumed to be non-response.</li> <li>The safety-analysis set, which included all randomized patients who received one or more doses of investigational product, was used to analyze adverse event incidence rates according to randomized treatment group unless a patient received an incorrect dose throughout the entire double-blind treatment phase.</li> </ul>
Subgroup analyses	None.

### TABEL 4 LIBERTY (EM, REUTER 2018)

Trial name	A Study Evaluating the Effectiveness of AMG 334 Injection in Preventing Migraines in Adults Having Failed Other Therapies (LIBERTY)
NCT number	NCT03096834
Objective	The purpose of this study is to determine if AMG 334 is effective in treating migraines in patients who have unsuccessfully failed other preventive migraine treatments.
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Efficacy and tolerability of erenumab in patients with episodic migraine in whom two-to- four previous preventive treatments were unsuccessful: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3b study. Reuter U et al. Lancet 2018
	Effect of erenumab on patient-reported outcomes in patients with episodic migraine with 2-4 prior preventive treatment failures: Results from the LIBERTY study. Goadsby PJ, MTIS 2018, 17th biennial Migraine Trust International Symposium, London, UK, 06–09 Sep. Digital poster MTIS2018-078
Study type and design	This was a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group phase 3 trial. Enrolled patients were assigned 1:1 to placebo or erenumab 140 mg monthly for 3 months (12 weeks) via interactive response technology. The investigators, patients and sponsor were masked to treatment assignment. Following the double-blind treatment, all patients are continuing on open treatment of 156 weeks. The study is ongoing
Follow-up time	After end of treatment patients are followed up for 12 weeks. Data from the double blind phase of the study are presented here.
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	Inclusion Criteria: •Documented history of migraine in the 12 months prior to screen •4-14 days per month of migraine symptoms •>=80% diary compliance during the Baseline period •Failure of previous migraine prophylactic treatments (2, 3 or 4 treatments) Exclusion Criteria: •>50 years old at migraine onset •Pregnant or nursing •History of cluster or hemiplegic headache •Evidence of seizure or psychiatric disorder •Score of 19 or higher on BDI •Active chronic pain syndrome •Cardiac or hepatic disease



Intervention	A total of 246 patients underwent randomization (121 to 140 mg erenumab and 125 to			
	placebo). Patients who completed the double-blinded treatment phase, could enter an			
	open-label treatment phase.			
	Treatment was given by subcutaneous injecti	on every 4 weeks.		
Baseline characteristics		Erenumab group	Placebo group	
		(n=121)	(n=125)	
	Age, years	44,6 (10,5)	44,2 (10,6)	
	Sex			
	Male	24 (20%)	22 (18%)	
	Female	97 (80%)	103 (82%)	
	Race			
	White	112 (93%)	115 (92%)	
	Non-white	9 (7%)	10 (8%)	
	Ethnicity*			
	Hispanic or Latino	9 (7%)	10 (8%)	
	Non Hispanic or Latino	104 (86%)	109 (87%)	
	Weight, kg	72,8 (14,4)	72,1 (16,2)	
	Body-mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	25,0 (4,2)	24,9 (5,1)	
	Number of previous unsuccessful preventive migraine treatments <sup>†</sup>			
	Тwo	43 (36%)	52 (42%)	
	Three	44 (36%)	49 (39%)	
	Four	33 (27%)	23 (18%)	
	Previous unsuccessful preventive drugs <sup>‡</sup>			
	Amitriptyline	49 (40%)	63 (50%)	
	Candesartan	26 (21%)	26 (21%)	
	Flunarizine	32 (26%)	38 (30%)	
	Lisinopril	2 (2%)	0	
	Metoprolol	46 (38%)	48 (38%)	
	Propranolol	60 (50%)	51 (41%)	
	Topiramate	105 (87%)	104 (83%)	
	Valproate	43 (36%)	25 (20%)	
	Venlafazine	6 (5%)	7 (5%)	
	Others§	9 (7%)	13 (10%)	
	Monthly migraine days	9,2 (2,6)	9,3 (2,7)	
	Aura			
	Present	42 (35%)	45 (36%)	
	Not present	79 (65%)	80 (64%)	
	Monthly headache days	10,1 (2,8)	10,1 (2,7)	
	Randomisation by strata			
	4-7 monthly migraine days	36 (30%)	38 (30%)	
	8-14 monthly migraine days	85 (70%)	87 (70%)	
	Acute headache medication use¶			
	Migraine-specific	102 (84%)	109 (87%)	
	Only non-migraine specific	13 (11%)	14 (11%)	
	Monthly acute migraine-specific medication days	4,8 (2,9)	4,4 (2,8)	
	Data are mean (SD) or n (%). *Ethnicity data were and 11 in the placebo group. †One patient in eac preventive treatments. ‡Does not include patien cinnarizine, indoramin, nadolol, oxetorone, and p group and two in the placebo group did not use a	h group had unsuccessfully ts considered unsiitable for pizotifen. ¶Six patients in th	v used fewer than two r treatment. §Includes ne erenumab	



Primary and secondary	Primary Endpoint:
endpoints	<ul> <li>Percentage of patients with a 50% response in the reduction of Monthly Migraine Days (MMD) at month 3</li> <li>Secondary Endpoint:</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Change in the number of monthly migraine days (MMDs) from baseline to month 3</li> <li>Change in the Migraine Physical Function Impact Diary (MPFID) "impact on everyday activities" domain score from baseline to month 3</li> <li>Change in the MPFID "physical impairment" domain score from baseline to month</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Change in the number of monthly acute migraine-specific medication treatment</li> </ul>
	days
	Percentage of patients with a 75% response
	Percentage of patients with a 100% response
Method of analysis	The full analysis set, which was used for efficacy analyses, included all randomly assigned patients who started their study medication, completed at least one post-baseline monthly migraine day measurement in the double-blind treatment phase, and were analyzed based on the pre-planned randomized treatment. For the primary endpoint, the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test was used to measure the
	association between 50% responder rate and treatment group; analysis was stratified by migraine frequency, with a one-sided significance level of 0.025 (0.05 two-sided). ORs, 95% Cls, and two-sided p values are reported. Patients with missing data for monthly migraine days at month 3 of the double-blind treatment phase were imputed as non-responders.
	The continuous change from baseline efficacy endpoints (least-square means) was analyzed with a linear mixed-effects model, including treatment group, baseline value, stratification factors, study visit, and the interaction of treatment group with study visit, without any
	imputation for missing data. The dichotomous secondary efficacy endpoints derived from corresponding continuous endpoints were analyzed with the stratified Cochran-Mantel- Haenszel test after imputation of missing data as non-response. Estimates (treatment difference or OR) of erenumab compared with placebo with associated 95% CI and p values
	are reported.
	The safety analysis set included all randomly assigned patients who received at least one dose of study drug. Analyses were based on actual treatment received.
Subgroup analyses	A post-hoc subanalysis was conducted, based on number of prior treatment failure(s). Effect (≥50% responder rate, ≥75% responder rate, change in monthly migraine days, MMD, and in HR QOL parameters) was compared between erenumab and placebo in patients who had failed ≤2 (n=43 for erenumab and n=52 for placebo) or >2 (n=76 for erenumab and
	n=72 for placebo) prior treatments due to lack of efficacy and/or tolerability. Dichotomous variables were analyzed using a Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) test
	adjusting for stratification factor (4-7 vs 8-14 migraine days at Baseline) after missing data
	are imputed as non-response (NRI). Continuous variables were analyzed using a linear mixed effects model which included
	treatment group, baseline value, stratification factor, subgroup factor, the interaction of treatment group with subgroup, scheduled visit, and the interaction of
	treatment group with scheduled visit.



### TABEL 5 STUDY 178, PHASE 2 (EM, SUN 2016)

A Phase 2 Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Erenumab (AMG 334) in Migraine Prevention		
NCT01952574		
A phase 2 study to evaluate the effect of erenumab compared to placebo on the change from baseline in monthly migraine days in participants with episodic migraine.		
Safety and efficacy of AMG 334 for prevention of episodic migraine: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial, Sun et al., Lancet Neurol, 2016.		
Erenumab (AMG 334) in episodic migraine: Interim analysis of an ongoing open-label study, Ashina et al., Neurology, 2017.		
A multi-center, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled. Phase 2 study. Patients were randomly assigned in a 3:2:2:2 to monthly subcutaneous placebo, AMG 334 7 mg, AMG 334 21 mg, or AMG 334 70 mg using a sponsor-generated randomization sequence centrally executed by an interactive voice response or interactive web response system. The investigators, patients and sponsor were masked to treatment assignment. An analysis of the double-blind phase of the study was performed with a data cutoff date of 25 September 2014.		
After completing the 12 week study, patients could enroll in an open-label extension study (OLE) and continue treatment with AMG 332 70 mg. A pre-planned interim analysis was conducted when all participants had completed the 1-year open label follow-up. This OLE study is currently ongoing.		
Median exposure of erenumab was 575 days (range 28-882 days) at the time of the pre-planned interim analysis of the OLE study.		
<ul> <li>Inclusion Criteria:</li> <li>History of migraine for more than12 months prior to screening</li> <li>Migraine frequency: ≥ 4 and ≤ 14 migraine days per month in each of the 3 months prior to screening and during baseline phase</li> <li>Headache frequency: &lt; 15 headache days per month (with &gt; 50% of the headache days being migraine days) in each of the 3 months prior to screening and during baseline phase</li> <li>Demonstrated at least 80% compliance with the eDiary during baseline phase</li> <li>Demonstrated at least 80% compliance with the eDiary during baseline phase</li> <li>Exclusion Criteria:</li> <li>Older than 50 years of age at migraine onset</li> <li>History of cluster headache or basilar or hemiplegic migraine headache</li> <li>Unable to differentiate migraine from other headaches</li> <li>No therapeutic response with &gt; 2 of the following eight medication categories for prophylactic treatment of migraine after an adequate therapeutic trial. Medication categories are: <ul> <li>Category 1: Divalproex sodium, sodium valproate</li> <li>Category 3: Beta blockers (for example: atenolol, bisoprolol, metoprolol, nadolol, nebivolol, pindolol, propranolol, timolol)</li> <li>Category 4: Tricyclic antidepressants (for example: amitriptyline, nortriptyline, protriptyline)</li> <li>Category 5: Venlafaxine, desvenlafaxine, duloxetine, milnacipran</li> <li>Category 7: Lisinopril, candesartan</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		



	Overuse of acute migraine medica     screening or during screening	itions in any month durin	g the 3 months prior to		
Intervention	screening or during screening483 patients were randomly assigned to placebo (n=160), AMG 334 7 mg (n=108),				
	AMG 334 21 mg (n=108), or AMG 334				
	Participants received subcutaneous inj	ections on day 1 and at v	veeks 4 and 8 by in the		
	double-blind treatment phase.				
	383 patients continued in the follow up		_		
	erenumab (AMG 334) by subcutaneou 225 patients are currently still on treat				
Baseline characteristics		Placebo (n=160)	Erenumab 70 mg (n=107)		
	Age (years)	41,4 (10,0)	42,6 (9,9)		
	Sex	/ ( -/-/	/- (-/-/		
	Women	132 (83%)	82 (77%)		
	Men	28 (18%)	25 (23%)		
	Body-mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	25,9 (4,9)	25,8 (4,9)		
	Ethnic origin	-/- ( /- /			
	White	142 (89%)	103 (96%)		
	Black	13 (8%)	2 (2%)		
	Asian	2 (1%)	1 (1%)		
	Other*	3 (2%)	1 (1%)		
	Region	- ( - )			
	North America	85 (53%)	58 (54%)		
	Europe	75 (47%)	49 (46%)		
	Age at migraine onset (years)	21,2 (10,9)	21,7 (9,9)		
	Disease duration (years)	20,7 (11,5)	21,5 (11,7)		
	Previous preventive therapy		, , , , ,		
	Naive	94 (59%)	60 (56%)		
	Previous therapies	66 (41%)	47 (44%)		
	Treatment failure <sup>+</sup>	60 (38%)	34 (32%)		
	Other	6 (4%)	13 (12%)		
	Monthly migraine days	8,8 (2,7)	9,6 (2,5)		
	Monthly migraine attacks         5,4 (1,5)         5,4 (1,5)				
	Data are mean (SD) or number (%). Data are for all randomised patients in the full analysis				
	set. Some percentages do not total 100% because of rounding. *Other includes native				
	Hawaiian or other Pacifi c Islander, multiple ethnic origins, or other. †Treatment failure				
	includes discontinuation because of lack of effi cacy or adverse reaction.				
Primary and secondary	Primary Endpoint:				
endpoints		Migraine Days at Week 1	2		
chapolitis	Change From Baseline in Monthly Migraine Days at Week 12     Secondary Endpoints:				
	<ul> <li>Percentage of Participants With at Least a 50% Reduction From Baseline in</li> </ul>				
	Monthly Migraine Days at Week 12 (50% responder rate)				
	Change From Baseline in Monthly Migraine Attacks at Week 12				
	Endpoints for OLE study:				
	Change in monthly migraine days				
	<ul> <li>Achievement of ≥50%, ≥70% and 1</li> </ul>	100% reduction in month	ly migraine days		
Method of analysis					
Method of analysis	Patients in the full analysis set were analyzed according to randomized treatment,				
	regardless of the treatment received. The efficacy analyses were done using a subset				
	of the full analysis set, defined as all randomly assigned patients who received at least				
	one dose of investigational product during double-blind treatment and had at least 4				
	migraine days during the baseline period.				
	For the primary endpoint and the secondary endpoint of change in monthly migraine				
	attacks at week 12, the least squares mean at each time point was calculated from a				
	generalized linear mixed-effect model for repeated measures. The primary endpoint				



	was adjusted for multiple comparisons using a sequential testing procedure, which allowed for the testing of each of the AMG 334 doses against placebo from the highest to lowest dose groups to control the study-wise type I error. Statistical testing was only done for the next lower dose group if there was a significant difference between the higher dose group and placebo. For the secondary endpoint of the proportions of patients with at least a 50% reduction in monthly migraine days, adjusted odds ratios (ORs) were calculated from a generalized linear mixed model for repeated measures. The stratification factor of region (North America vs Europe) and baseline value for the corresponding endpoint were included in the model as covariates for all efficacy analyses and the pairwise comparison of treatment differences, and linear trend in the treatment groups were tested from the model using observed data without any missing data imputation. The study wise type I error was not controlled for the secondary endpoints in this phase 2 study, and thus for these endpoints nominal p values were reported without adjustment for multiple testing. The safety analysis set included all randomly assigned patients who received at least one dose of investigational product and were analyzed based on actual treatment received.
Subgroup analyses	N/A

# Studier med komparatorer Betablokkere (metoprolol/propranolol)

#### TABEL 6 DIENER 2004

Trial name	Topiramate in migraine prophylaxis Results from a placebo-controlled trial with propranolol as an active control		
NCT number	Not stated in publication		
Objective	To evaluate the efficacy and safety of two doses of topiramate (100 and 200 mg/d) vs placebo for migraine prophylaxis, with immediate-release propranolol (160 mg/d) as an active control.		
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Topiramate in migraine prophylaxis - Results from a placebo-controlled trial with propranolol as an active control, Diener HC, et al. J Neurol 2004		
Study type and design	A randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, multicenter trial conducted in 13 countries. The trial included four phases: baseline, core double-blind, blinded extension, and taper/exit. The study is completed.		
Follow-up time	26 week core double blind phase, blinded extension phase for up to 12 months. Data from the core double blind phase are presented.		
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Inclusion: <ul> <li>Age 12 and 65 years</li> <li>Established history of migraine with or without aura for at least one year, according to International Headache Society (IHS) criteria</li> <li>3 to 12 migraine headaches (periods)</li> <li>No more than 15 headache days (including migraine days)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Exclusion: <ul> <li>Patients must not have failed more than two previous adequate regimens of prophylactic medications for recurrent migraine episodes.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		



		f asthma, bradya ns to the use of b	arrhythmia, uncon beta-blockers	trolled diabetes, a	and any other
Intervention	A total of 575 subjects were randomized; of these, 568 contributed efficacy data after randomization and were included in the intent-to-treat cohort for the efficacy analyses; 570 contributed to the safety analyses . The trial included four phases: baseline, core double-blind, blinded extension, and taper/exit. The baseline phase consisted of a 14-day washout period during which any prophylactic migraine medications were discontinued and a 28-day prospective baseline period during which subjects completed daily records of headache activity/symptoms and rescue medication usage.				
	During the titration (20 mg/d) was titr 20 mg/d (PROP) u whichever was low stable dose of stur Only subjects who eligible to enter th from the trial. Subjects who wer same dose of stur During this phase, after the last subje At the end of trea	ated upwards in ntil achieving eit wer. After compl dy medication up completed the be blinded exten e eligible to enter y medication that subjects continue ect was randomi tment, regardles	weekly increment her the assigned of eting titration, sub ntil the end of the entire 26-week co sion phase. All oth er the blinded exter at was achieved du ued to receive stud zed, or until they w	ts of 25 mg/d (TPI lose or maximum ojects continued r maintenance per re double-blind p ter subjects were nsion phase recei uring the core dou dy medication for were withdrawn.	M) or tolerated dose, receiving the iod. hase were discontinued ived the uble-blind phase. up to 12 months
Baseline characteristics	period of up to 7		<b>-</b> · ·	- · ·	
		Placebo N=143	Topiramate 100 mg/d N=139	Topiramate 200 mg/d N=143	Propranolol 160 mg/d N=143
	Age, mean	40.3	39.8	42.6	40.6
	Male	34	29	28	24
	Female	109	110	115	119
	Mean body weight, kg	71.2	70.8	70.2	68.9
	MMD (mean monthly migraine days)	6.1	5.8	6.2	6.1
	Monthly days of rescue medication	5.3	5.0	5.5	5.4
	Migraine attack rate	4.1	3.6	4.0	3.9
Primary and secondary endpoints	relative t Comparis (28-day) phase vs Secondary Endpoi Change in Change in Respond	ge in mean mon o the double-blin son of topiramat migraine frequen the frequency at nts: n number of mig n the average mo	raine days per mo onthly rate of resc e defined as at leas	e. h respect to char the entire core d nth ue medication us	nge in monthly ouble-blind e in days



	<ul> <li>Onset of action (defined as the earliest monthly time point when a statistically significant difference in the primary efficacy endpoint between the placebo and topiramate treatment groups was detected and consistently.</li> </ul>
Method of analysis	Efficacy analyses were conducted on the intent-to-treat cohort, which was defined as those randomized patients who had at least 1 post-baseline efficacy assessment. The primary efficacy endpoint is the change in average monthly migraine frequency (based on migraine periods). Efficacy endpoints were analyzed using a linear model with baseline value as a covariate and analysis center and treatment as factors. The least squares means, which are means adjusting for the variables in the statistical model, were used to compare treatment groups.
Subgroup analyses	N/A

### TABEL 7 DIENER 1996

Trial name	Cyclandelate in the prophylaxis of migraine: a randomized, parallel, double-blind study in comparison with placebo and propranolol		
NCT number	Not stated in publication		
Objective	To test the hypothesis that cyclandelate is more effective than placebo in the prophylaxis of migraine using the minimal effective dosage of 1200 mg/day, and as a secondary hypothesis, investigate the comparative efficacy with propranolol (120 mg/day).		
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Cyclandelate in the prophylaxis of migraine: a randomized, parallel, double-blind study in comparison with placebo and propranolol. Diener HC et al, Cephalalgia:16:441-7,1996		
Study type and design	A randomized, parallel-group, double-blind multicenter study. The study is completed.		
	Patients who fulfilled the entry criteria entered a 4-week baseline period without any prophylactic treatment. Those who recorded 2-10 attacks on their migraine headache diaries during the baseline period qualified for randomization (randomization ratio =3 : 2 : 3) to cyclandelate, placebo or propranolol. To avoid early withdrawals due to initial side effects, treatment started with a 2-week run-in period at a dosage of 400 mg tid cyclandelate placebo or 40 mg tid propranolol. This was followed by a 12-week period of active prophylaxis at a dosage of 400 mg tid cyclandelate, placebo or 40 mg tid propranolol. At the end of the study and prior to breaking the code, the attending physician evaluated all migraine headache diaries, blinded to the number and total duration of migraine attacks at baseline and in the last 4 weeks of prophylaxis. This diary database was used for primary analysis		
Follow-up time	20 weeks (primary analysis)		
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Inclusion criteria:</li> <li>Patients between the age 18 and 60 years</li> <li>Male or female</li> <li>Migraine with and/or without aura according to the IHS criteria</li> <li>Migraine history of at least 12 months' duration</li> <li>A mean number of 2-10 migraine attacks per month within the last 3 months prior to the study</li> <li>Exclusion criteria:</li> <li>Pregnant or lactating women</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Pregnant or factating women</li> <li>Psychiatric disorders</li> </ul>		



	Concomitant non-migraine h     months	eadaches 23 tim	es per month wi	thin the last 3
	<ul> <li>Intake of centrally acting drugs or migraine prophylactic drugs during the 4 weeks preceding the tria</li> </ul>			
		eta-blocker (astł	nma diabetes c	linically relevant
	<ul> <li>Specific contraindication to beta-blocker (asthma, diabetes, clinica hypotension, etc.) or cyclandelate (acute stroke, glaucoma, coagula disorder)</li> </ul>			-
		ing attacks 12 g	love (month Drie	r to study optry
	Intake of drugs to treat migra and at the end of the treatment		ays/month. Pric	or to study entry
Intervention	A total of 214 ITT patients in 17 centre	es were randomi	zed after comple	eting
	the baseline period, 81 patients (37.9		-	e, 55 (25.7%)
	with placebo and 78 (36.4%) with pro	pranolol. Forty p	atients had	
	to be excluded from the ITT analysis for	or various reasor	ns and 174 patier	nts
	(cyclandelate n=67, placebo n =39, pr	opranolol n =68)	remained for th	e
	Pl' analysis.			
	The study had a 2-week run-in period	at a dosage of 40	00 mg tid cyclan	delate placebo
	or 40 mg tid propranolol. This was foll	owed by a 12-we	eek period of act	ive prophylaxis
	at a dosage of 400 mg tid cyclandelate	e, placebo or 40 i	ng tid proprano	ol.
	The study ended with a 2-week run-or	ut period to avoi	d early recurrent	ce of migraine,
	using the same dosages as in the run-	in period. Additio	onal medication	to treat acute
	migraine attacks was allowed for up to	o 12 days/month	for the duration	n of the study,
	including the baseline period. Patients were required to come for a check-up visit at			
	the end of the baseline			·
	period and at weeks 10, 14, 18 and 20	).		
Baseline characteristics		Cyclandelate	Propranolol	Placebo
		N=81	N=78	N=55
	Mean Age	39	40	39
	Woman	66	60	41
	Men	15	18	14
	No of patients with acute migrane			
	medication			
	- Analgesics/antirheumatics	55	51	36
	<ul> <li>Specific migraine drugs</li> </ul>	46	49	32
	Mean number of attacks/4 weeks	4	4	4
	≤ 4 attacks	3	3	3
	Additional medication under			
	attacks			
	-Never	6	3	2
	- Sometimes	23	24	15
	-Every Day	52	51	38
Primary and secondary	Primary endpoints:			
endpoints	<ul> <li>"Rate of responders", i.e. patients with ≥50% reduction in the number of</li> </ul>			
enapointo	migraine attacks			
	- Mean "migraine duration" in	hours		
	Secondary endpoints:			
	- The efficacy of propranolol versus placebo and equivalent efficacy of			
		-	a cyaivalent em	
	cylandelate compared to propranolol.			
	<ul> <li>change in intensity of headache</li> <li>Intake of analgesics or migraine drugs</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Intake of analgesics of migraine drugs</li> <li>Number of working days lost due to migraine,</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Number of working days lost</li> </ul>	uue to migraine	,	



	<ul> <li>frequency and severity of adverse events.</li> </ul>
Method of analysis	Not applicable since the endpoints for this application are not the same as those analyzed in the publication
Subgroup analyses	N/A

#### TABEL 8 STOVNER 2014

ICT008846663		
To determine whether the effect of candesartan for migraine prevention, shown in one previous study, could be confirmed in a new study, and if so, whether the effect was comparable to that of proparanolol (non-inferiority analysis), and whether adverse events were different.		
Comparative study of candesartan vs. propranolol for migraine prophylaxis: A andomized triple-blind, placebo-controlled study, Stovner etal, Cephalalgia 2014		
The study was designed as a placebo-controlled double-blind, double cross-over trial, with a four-week open baseline period, and three 12-week treatment periods with a four-week wash-out period between each treatment period.		
2 weeks		
<ul> <li>age 18–65 years</li> <li>migraine with or without aura or or chronic migraine</li> <li>≥ 2 migraine attacks per month during the last three months beforeinclusion, and ≥ 2 migraine attacks during the four week baseline period documented in the diary</li> <li>Debut of migraine ≥ 1 year prior to inclusion, and before the age of 50</li> <li>xclusion criteria: <ul> <li>interval headache not distinguishable from migraine</li> <li>chronic tension-type or other headache occurring on ≥ 15 days/month</li> <li>pregnancy, nursing or not using contraceptives in fertile women</li> <li>heart conduction block or other significant abnormality on electrocardiogram; heart rate &lt;54 (sitting, after three minutes' rest) asthma or diabetes; decreased hepatic or renal function</li> <li>hypersensitivity to active substances</li> <li>history of angioneurotic oedema</li> <li>psychiatric illness</li> <li>use of daily migraine prophylactics less than four weeks prior to start of study</li> <li>having tried ≥ 3 prophylactic drugs against migraine during the last 10 years</li> <li>previous use of PRO or CAN in adequate doses (≥ 16 mg or ≥160 mg) and duration (≥6 weeks)</li> <li>previous discontinuation of CAN or any beta-blocker because of AEs;</li> <li>current use of antihypertensive medication</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		



Intervention	In a randomized, triple-blind, double cross-over study, 72 adult patients with episodic or chronic migraine went through three 12-week treatment periods on either candesartan 16 mg, propranolol slow-release 160 mg, or placebo.		
Baseline characteristics	Whole populat N=72		
	Age in years (SD)	31 (11)	
	Females, n (%)	59 (82)	
	Mean duration of headache history in years (SD)	19 (11)	
	Mean number of attacks per month (SD)	4.8 (3.6)	
	Mean number of migraine days per four weeks (SD)	4.9 (3.0)	
Primary and secondary endpoints	<ul> <li>Primary endpoint: Migraine days per 4 weeks.</li> <li>Secondary endpoints: <ul> <li>Headache days per four weeks</li> <li>Headache hours per four weeks</li> <li>headache intensity</li> <li>doses of analgesics per four weeks</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
Method of analysis	All statistical tests were between treatment periods, and did not include baseline data. MMD was tested with Wilcoxon's paired signed rank test. Subjects fulfilling mITT- requirements were included in the main analysis.		
Subgroup analyses	N/A		

## Lisinopril

Der er ikke identificeret relevante studier med lisinopril.

### Candesartancilexetil

## TABEL 9 STOVNER 2014 (SE UNDER PROPRANOLOL).

#### TABEL 10 TRONVIK 2003

Trial name	Prophylactic treatment of Migraine with an Angiotensin II Receptor blocker		
NCT number	Not stated in publication		
Objective	To determine whether treatment with the angiotensin II receptor blocker Candesartan is effective as a migraine-prophylactic drug		
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Prophylactic treatment of Migraine with an Angiotensin II Receptor blocker. A Randomized Controlled Trial. Tronvik E, et al. JAMA 2003		
Study type and design	Randomized double blind, placebo-controlled cross-over study		
Follow-up time	12 weeks		
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Inclusion criteria:</li> <li>Age 18-65</li> <li>migraine occurrence with/without aura according to IHS criteria.at a rate of 2-6 attacks pr. Month</li> <li>Debut 1 year prior randomization, before age 50</li> <li>Exclusion criteria:</li> <li>Headache not distinguishable from migraine</li> </ul>		



	• Pre	Pregnancy/nursing			
	• Hep	Hepatic impairment			
	Hist	History of angioneurotic edema, psychiatric illnes			
	Use of daily	migraine prophylactic 12 weeks prior	to study.		
Intervention	Placebo run	in period of 4 weeks, followed by two	o 12-week treatment periods separated		
	by 4 weeks o	of placebo washout. 30 patients were	randomized to assign to receive 16 mg		
	candesartan	/day in the first treatment period, fol	lowed by 1 placebo tablet/day in the		
	second perio	od. Remaining 30 received placebo fo	llowed by candesartan.		
Baseline characteristics			IIT population		
			N=57		
		Women, n	45		
		Age, women. Years (SD)	42 (11)		
		Age, men. Years (SD)	48 (13)		
			8.4 (3.9)		
		Migraine days per 4 weeks (SD)	5.7 (2.9)		
		Headache days per 4 weeks (SD)	8.4 (3.9)		
Primary and secondary	Primary: Nu	Primary: Number of days with headache per 4 weeks			
endpoints	Secondary:	Secondary:			
	• Hou	Hours with headache per 4 weeks			
	• day	s with migraine per 4 weeks			
	• hou	irs with migraine per 4 weeks			
	headache se	verity index, level of disability, dosis	of triptans, doses of analgetics,		
	acceptability	acceptability of treatment, days of sick leave, and QOL in the SF 36 questionnaire			
Method of analysis	All statistica	tests were between treatment perio	ods, and did not include baseline data.		
	MMD was te	ested with Wilcoxon's paired signed ra	ank test. The analysis was based on the		
	ITT analysis	ITT analysis set.			
Subgroup analyses	N/A	N/A			

# Topiramat

## TABEL 11 BRANDES 2004

Trial name	Topiramate for migraine prevention a randomized controlled trial		
NCT number	Not stated in publication		
Objective	To assess the efficacy and safety of topiramate for migraine prevention in a large controlled trial		
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Topiramate for migraine prevention a randomized controlled trial. Brandes JL, et al. JAMA 2004		
Study type and design	A 26-week, multicenter, randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled study conducted during outpatient treatment. The study is completed.		
Follow-up time	26 weeks (primary analysis)		
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Inclusion:</li> <li>Established history of migraine with or without aura for at least 6 month before screening.</li> <li>Age 12 to 65 years</li> <li>Between 3 and 12 migraines but not more than 15 headache days per 28 days during the prospective baseline phase. A headache day was defined as a</li> </ul>		



Intervention	minutes. • Woman bearing of for at lead Exclusion: • Headach • Failed to preventi • Onset of • Overuse episodes • Continue tricyclic oxidase magnesi doses (e herbal p prophyla baseline • Patients • Patients • Patients • Patients device w After evaluation f washout period o were tapered. This during which head reviewed. During the baselir Patients who com randomization wa Patients and clinic Patients and clinic Patients and clinic Patients and clinic Patients and clinic Patients and clinic Patients com continued receiving evening). Patients double-blind phas after a blinded tra	were required to children, or pract ast 1 month befor he other than mig o respond to mor ive medications f migraine occurre of analgesics or s ed use of followir antidepressiva, a inhibitors, nonste- ium supplements eg, 100 mg/d), cor preparations such actic approaches e phase could be of s who had particip e than 2 weeks. S who had receive vithin 30 days of s for inclusion and e fup to 14 days, d is period was follo dache and medica me phase, patients moleted the prosp of 4 treatment gro- hedule: placebo of a balanced by us cians were blinde zed to topiramate maximum tolerat ng that amount for s who completed se for lack of effic ansition period of	re study entry. graine, episodic te re than 2 adequate ed after age 50 ye specific agents for ng medication dur ntiepileptics, calcie eroidal anti-inflam at high doses (eg rticosteroids, local as feverfew or St started at least 1 continued through nephrolithiasis bated in a topiram exclusion criteria, luring which any re bated by a prospe- ation record infor s were permitted ective baseline ph oups according to or topiramate at 5 sing permuted blo d to study medicase e started at a dose otal of 8 weeks) u ed dose, whichev or 18 weeks in 2 d the 18-week main acry were eligible 7 weeks. In the e	usal, surgically inc acceptable metho nsion or sinus hea e previous regime hars acute treatment ing the study: Beto um channel block matory drugs (NS , 600 mg/d), ribof l anesthetics, boto John's wort. Non month before the nout the study. ate study or had computed an re eligible patients en ingraine-preventi- ctive baseline pha mation completed to take rescue me hase and met all e a computer- gen 0 mg/d, 100 mg/d cks of 4 and strat tion. of 25 mg/d; the ntil patients react er was less. Patien ivided doses (mon tenance period co to enter an open- vent of tolerabilit	capable of od of birth control adache ens of migraine- s of migraine ta blockers, ers, monoamine SAIDs) daily, davin at high ulinum toxin, or pharmacologic e prospective taken topiramate experimental entered a ve medications ase of 28 days, d by patients was edication. ntry criteria were erated d, or 200 mg/d. ified by center. daily dose was ned either their nts then rning and or who exited the dabel extension y problems,
	evening). Patients who completed the 18-week maintenance period or who exited the double-blind phase for lack of efficacy were eligible to enter an open-label extension after a blinded transition period of 7 weeks. In the event of tolerability problems, patients were given the opportunity to reduce study medication by a maximum				
	of 2 dose levels during the entire 26- week treatment phase.				
Baseline characteristics		Placebo N=114	Topiramate 50 mg/d N=117	Topiramate 100 mg/d N=120	Topiramate 200 mg/d N=117
		20.2		39.1	
	Age	38.3	39.0	39.1	39.1
	Age Men	38.3 20	39.0 20	11	39.1 11



	Monthly migraine	5.6	5.4	5.8	5.1
	frequency				
	MMD,	6.7	6.4	6.9	6.1
	Monthly				
	migraine days				
	Monthly	5.8	5.7	6.2	5.8
	rescue				
	medication				
	use,d				
	Migraine	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.1
	duration, days				
	Monthly	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.3
	migraine				
	severity				
Primary and secondary	The primary effica	acy measure:			
endpoints	Change f	rom baseline in n	nean monthly mig	graine frequency.	
	Secondary efficacy measures:				
	Respond	er rate (proportio	on of patients wit	h ≥50% reduction	in monthly
	migraine	frequency)			
	Reductions in mean number of monthly migraine days				
	Severity,	duration, and da	ys a month requi	ring rescue medic	ation
	<ul> <li>Adverse events.</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>The month of onset of preventive treatment action was assess</li> </ul>				ssed.
Method of analysis	Efficacy analyses	were conducted of	on the intent-to-t	reat population, v	vhich was
	defined as randomized patients who had at least 1 post baseline efficacy a For patients discontinuing early, the mean monthly migraine frequency du				•
	entire double-blind treatment phase and the cumulative monthly periods wer computed according to the migraine periods observed before discontinuation				
	The primary and secondary continuous efficacy measure was assessed with a linear				
	model, with treatment and analysis center as factors and the baseline value as a				
	covariate. Estimates of treatment effects are based on the treatments' least squares				
	means, which are the means adjusted for the variables in the statistical model.				
	Analyses were done with SAS (version 6.12; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC) at a				C) at a
	significance level	of .05.			
Subgroup analyses	N/A				

#### TABEL 12 DIENER 2007

Trial name	Topiramate reduces headache days in chronic migraine: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study
NCT number	Not stated in publication
Objective	The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of topiramate for the prevention of chronic migraine.
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Topiramate reduces headache days in chronic migraine: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, Diener HC et al., Cephalalgia 2007
Study type and design	A randomized, double blind, placebo controlled, parallel group, multi-center trial of topiramate for the prevention of headache in patients with chronic migraine with and without medication overuse.



Follow-up time	A prospective, 4-week baseline phase was followed by a 16-week, double-blind treatment phase, which consisted of a 4-week titration and 12-week treatment period. The taper-down phase lasted up to 7 weeks. Computer randomization was used prior to study start. The study is completed. 16 weeks (4 weeks titration og 12 weeks treatment)			
-				
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Inclusion criteria:</li> <li>Patients 18–65 years of age</li> <li>Diagnosis of chronic migraine that sa International Classification of Heada headache days per 4 weeks, at least entry</li> <li>An established migraine history for a</li> <li>Patients could be included if they ha baseline period</li> </ul>	che Disorders criteria during the last 3 mon at least 1 year	of ≥15 migraine ths prior to trial	
	Exclusion criteria			
	<ul> <li>Patients were excluded if they prese headache or any secondary headach (MOH).</li> </ul>	e except medication of	-	
	<ul> <li>Patients who experienced onset of migraine after age 50</li> <li>Patients who were severely depressed (Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) scale score≥30)</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Patients taking antidepressants unless the antidepressant was used at a stable dose for at least 3 months prior to trial entry and the patient intended to continue the antidepressant throughout the trial.</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Patients taking any migraine prophylactic drug unless the drug had been used for at least 3 months (at a stable dose for at least 1 month) prior to trial entry and was continued throughout the trial.</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Prior history of topiramate use</li> <li>Use of other anticonvulsants within 30 days of trial entry</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Use of a carbonic anhydrase inhibito</li> </ul>			
Intervention	The total ITT population (n = 59) consisted of 32 patients receiving topiramate and			
	patients receiving placebo. Topiramate and placebo, identical tablets pro titrated to the target dose of 100 mg/day (50 The dose of topiramate was required to rema double-blind phase at the time when data for Randomization was stratified according to pr in the baseline period.	oduced by the manufa mg twice daily) at a r ain stable during the la r the primary end-poir	acturer, were ate of 25 mg/week. ast 4 weeks of the nt were collected.	
	Patients were allowed to take acute rescue m steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), tr during any phase in the trial as usual.		-	
Baseline characteristics		Placebo N=27	Topiramate	
	Age, years	44.4	N=32 47.8	
	Gender (F/M), %	74/26	75/25	
	MMD (Mean number of migraine days/month)	16.4	15.5	
	Patients with and without medication overuse	23/4	23/9	
	Beck depression Inventory	13.4	9.0	



Primary and secondary	Primary endpoints		
endpoints	• Change in the mean number of monthly migraine days from baseline to the last 4 weeks of the double-blind phase. A migraine day was defined as a calendar day with symptoms of a migraine attack lasting at least 30 min.		
	Secondary endpoints		
	<ul> <li>Change in monthly migraine days from baseline to the entire double-blind phase</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>The percentage of patients with ≥50% reduction in the mean number of monthly migraine days (categorical responder rates)</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Change from baseline in the mean number of days of acute medication intake</li> <li>Patient satisfaction ratings with the efficacy and tolerability of the treatment they received</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Mean changes from baseline on the Migraine-Specific Quality of Life Questionnaire (MSQ, Version 2.1)</li> </ul>		
	Mean change from baseline on Headache Impact Test (HIT-6)		
	<ul> <li>Mean change from baseline on Migraine Disability Assessment (MIDAS) questionnaire scores.</li> </ul>		
Method of analysis	Efficacy analyses were performed on the intent-to-treat (ITT) population, which consisted of all randomized patients who received at least one post baseline efficacy evaluation. Differences between treatment groups (topiramate vs. placebo) were compared using the Wilcoxon two-sample test for ordinal/continuous data, and interpreted at the 5% significance level (two-tailed comparison). Fisher's exact test was used to assess differences between nominal data. For patients who dropped out, data from the last visit available were carried forward only for the end-point visit. Data have not been corrected for multiple comparisons		
Subgroup analyses	N/A		

### TABEL 13 DIENER 2004 (SE UNDER PROPRANOLOL).

#### TABEL 14 LIPTON 2011

Trial name	The topiramate INTREPID study		
NCT number	Not stated in publication		
Objective	Evaluate whether topiramate prevents development of chronic daily headache (CDH, ≥15 headache days/month) in adults with high-frequency episodic migraine (HFEM, 9 - 14 migraine headache days /month). Secondary objective, to assess the efficacy of topiramate as preventive migraine treatment in this population.		
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Topiramate intervention to prevent transformation of episodic migraine: The topiramate INTREPID study. Lipton RB et al. Cephalgia 2011		
Study type and design	Multicenter, RCT, double blind, placebo controlled study comparing topiramate 100 mg/day and placebo for 26 weeks.		
Follow-up time	Primary analysis after 26 weeks double blind treatment.		
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Inclusion criteria:</li> <li>adults, 18-65 years/age</li> <li>established history of migraine headache for 12 min. month before screening</li> </ul>		



	Exclusion criteria:			
	• Previously failed more than two adequate trials of medication from different migraine prophylactics.			
	Any migraine medication use six weeks before	e visit 2.		
Intervention	Patients were randomized to topiramate 100 mg/day (	n=188) or placeb	o (n=197)	
Baseline characteristics	Efficacy evaluable analysis set	Topiramate N= 159	Placebo N=171	
	Age, years (SD)	39.6 (10.6)	40.9 (11.2	
	Female, n (%)	138 (86.8)	156 (91.2)	
	BMI, kg/m2 (SD)	30,2 (8.5)	30,4 8.4)	
	Headache days per 28 days, n (SD)	13.0 (2.5)	13.1 (2.6)	
	Migraine days per 28 days, n (SD)	11.6 (2.0)	11.8 (2.2)	
	Days of acute headache medication use per 28 days	8.6 (3.2)	8.6 (3.5)	
Primary and secondary endpoints	<ul> <li>Primary: Onset of new-onset of CDH at month 6.</li> <li>Secondary: <ul> <li>Number of migraine days per 28 days</li> <li>Number of headache days per 28 days</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
Method of analysis	The analyses were based on the ITT analysis set which comprised randomized subjects who received at least 1 dose of study drug and at least 1 post-dose efficacy assessment. Secondary efficacy variables were analyzed using an analysis of variance (ANCOVA) model with treatment, center and baseline value as explanatory variables.			
Subgroup analyses	N/A			

#### TABEL 15 MEI 2004

Trial name	Topiramate in migraine prophylaxis: a Randomized double blind versus placebo study	
NCT number	Not stated in publication	
Objective	To evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of topiramate, given at the dose of 100 mg/day in the prophylactic treatment of migraine	
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Topiramate in migraine prophylaxis: a Randomized double blind versus placebo study, Mei et al., Neurol Sci, 2004	
Study type and design	Randomized double blind versus placebo	
Follow-up time	16 weeks double blind treatment	
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Inclusion criteria:</li> <li>Diagnosed Migraine with/without aura</li> <li>Frequency of crises ranging from 2 to 6 in a month</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Exclusion criteria:</li> <li>Renal pathologies</li> <li>women taking oral contraceptives</li> <li>potential fertile sexual active women not using contraceptives</li> <li>those who presented episodes indistinguishable from migraine without aura in the intercritical period</li> <li>those who had commenced any form of prophylactic therapy in the 2 months preceding trial.</li> </ul>	



Intervention	Patients were randomized using a computer-generated random number scheme to topiramate (n=58) or placebo (n=57). TPM started at a dose of 25 mg/day, increased by 25 mg weekly until 100 mg (first 4 weeks). Patients continued on 100 mg for 12 weeks, then decreased by 25 mg weekly.		
Baseline characteristics	Patients completing the study	Topiramate N=35	Placebo N=37
	Age, years (SD) Gender	39.,74 (12.02)	38.70 (11.04)
	Female, n	19	20
	Male, n	16	17
	Frequencies of crises, n (SD)	5.26 (1.29)	5.76 (0.98)
Primary and secondary endpoints	<ul> <li>Primary efficacy measures: reduction of mean migraine headache frequency compared to baseline and proportion of subjects responding to treatment (≥50% reduction in migraine headache frequency)</li> <li>Secondary:         <ul> <li>Effect of the quantity of symptomatic drugs taken during the period of therapy</li> <li>Numbers of days of disability</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
Method of analysis	Not applicable since the endpoints for this application are not the same as those analysed in the publication		
Subgroup analyses	N/A		

#### TABEL 16 SILBERSTEIN 2007

Trial name	Efficacy and safety of Topiramate for the treatment of Chronic Migraine: A randomized, Double blind, Placebo Controlled Trial		
NCT number	Not stated in publication		
Objective	To evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of topiramate, given at the dose of 100 mg/day compared with placebo		
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Efficacy and safety of Topiramate for the treatment of Chronic Migraine: A randomized, Double blind, Placebo Controlled Trial, Silberstein, Headache, 2007		
Study type and design	Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, multicenter trial with topiramate versus placebo. The study consisted of a pretreatment phase lasting up to 56 days, a double-blind treatment phase lasting 16 weeks and a taper/exit period lasting up to 2 weeks		
Follow-up time	Data from the 16 weeks double-blind treatment phase are presented.		
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Data from the 16 weeks double-blind treatment phase are presented.</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: <ul> <li>Adults with a diagnosis of CM according to Silberstein/Lipton criteria for transformed migraine</li> <li>At least 15-headache days per 28 days</li> <li>A MIDAS score of at least 11 at visit 1.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Exclusion criteria: <ul> <li>Previously failed more than 2 adequate migraine preventive medication (incl. topiramate)</li> <li>History of cluster headache</li> <li>Migraine onset after age 50</li> <li>Overuse of acute medication</li> <li>History of hepatic disorder, progressive neurologic disorder, pregnancy or nursing</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		



Intervention	Eligible patients were randomized and assigned seque placebo at the end of the prospective baseline period received placebo		
Baseline characteristics	IIT population	Topiramate N=153	Placebo N=153
	Age, years. Mean (SD)	37.8 (12.38)	37.6 (11.80)
	Gender		
	Female	83.7 %	86.9%
	Race		
	Caucasian	82.4%	78.45%
	Black	12.4%	17.0%
	Weight, kg. Mean (SD)	80.0 (20.3)	76.8 (22.2)
	Monthly rate of migraine days	15.2 (6.4)	15.1 (5.8)
	Monthly rate of total headache days	20.4 (4.8)	20.8 (4.6)
	Number of days per month of acute medication use	11.9 (7.0)	11.4 (6.6)
Primary and secondary endpoints	<ul> <li>Primary endpoint: Change in mean monthly migraine frequency pr. 28 days, during the entire double blind phase, compared with the prospective baseline period in the ITT population, which included all randomized subjects who received ≥ 1 dose of study drug and provided ≥ post baseline efficacy evaluation.</li> <li>Secondary endpoints: <ul> <li>median percent reduction in monthly migraine frequency</li> <li>proportion of responders (those with≥ 50, ≥75%, or 100% reduction in monthly migraine frequency).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
Method of analysis	Statistical Analysis Analyses of treatment effectiveness were performed on the intent-to-treat population (full analysis set), which consisted of all randomized subjects who received at least 1 dose of study medication and provided at least 1 post randomization efficacy evaluation. The mean monthly rate of migraine/migrainous headache days and migraine headache days were analyzed using an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with treatment and center were qualitative design factors, and baseline rate as a covariate.		
Subgroup analyses	N/A		

## TABEL 17 SILBERSTEIN 2006

Trial name	Efficacy and Tolerability of Topiratmate 200 mg/d in the prevention of migraine with /without aura in adults: A Randomized Placebo controlled, Double blind 12 week Pilot study
NCT number	Not stated in publication
Objective	The paper evaluates the efficacy and safety data from a pilot study of TPM 200 mg/d as preventive therapy in adult subjects with a history of migraine with or without aura.
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Efficacy and Tolerability of Topiratmate 200 mg/d in the prevention of migraine with/without aura in adults: A Randomized Placebo controlled, Double blind 12 week Pilot study", Silberstein et al, Clinical Therapeutics 2006
Study type and design	Multicenter, randomized, double blind, placebo controlled, parallel-group, out patient trial. The trial consists of an up to 4 weeks screening/washout period, a 4 week prospective baseline period, and a 20 week double blind treatment phase, which included an 8 weeks titration phase and a 12 week maintenance phase.
Follow-up time	Data from the 20 week double blind treatment phase is presented.



Population (inclusion and	Inclusion criteria:			
exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Subjects between the ages of 18 and 65 years</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>a history of migraine with or without aura, as assessed by International</li> </ul>			
	Headache Society criteria, 1° for at least 12 months before screening.			
	<ul> <li>Subjects must have experienced a</li> </ul>			
	month (defined as 28 days) for 3 r		-	
	purposes of this study, a migraine	-	-	
	onset of painful symptoms to the	-		
	whichever was sooner. Migraine		24 hours was	
	considered part of the same episode. Exclusion criteria:			
	<ul> <li>previously failed to respond to to</li> </ul>	niramate therany		
	<ul> <li>had taken preventive medication</li> </ul>		of the start of the	
	prospective baseline period		of the start of the	
	<ul> <li>subjects who had &gt;15 headache d</li> </ul>	lays per month during the	3 months before	
	screening, during screening, or du			
	<ul> <li>subjects with a diagnosis of cluster</li> </ul>			
	hemiplegic, or transformed migraine; or migraine aura exclusively (without			
	headache)			
	<ul> <li>subjects who had previously failed</li> </ul>		-	
	migraine preventive medications, had migraine onset after the age of 50			
	years, or overused acute migraine treatment (eg, triptan use on >8 days per			
	month)			
	<ul> <li>receipt of injected corticosteroids, local anesthetics, or botulinum toxin</li> </ul>			
	within 60 days before screening			
	<ul> <li>women of childbearing age were required to be using an approved method of birth control or to abstain from sexual intercourse</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>pregnant or lactating women were excluded</li> <li>serum alanine and/or aspartate aminotransferase levels &gt;2 times the upper</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Serum alarine and/or aspartate aninotransferase levels &gt;2 times the upper limit of the normal range were excluded, as were subjects with active liver</li> </ul>			
	disease.			
Intervention	Subjects who met the eligibility criteria were randomized 2:1 to topiramate 200 mg/d			
	or placebo. The double-blind treatment phase consisted of an 8-week titration period			
	(25 mg/d for the first week, followed by weekly increases of 25 mg) and a 12-week			
	maintenance period. In total 213 patients	were randomized, 140 to	topiramate and 73	
	to placebo.		1	
Baseline characteristics	IIT	Topiramate: 200	Placebo:	
		mg	N=73	
		N= 138	11 7 (0 A)	
	Age, years Mean (SD) Gender no.	39.9 (11.8)	41.7 (9.4)	
	Female, n (%)	118 (85.5)	63 (86.3)	
	Male, n (%)	20 (14.5)	10 (13.7)	
	Weight, kg. Mean (SD)	74.6 (17.5)	80.7 (20.3)	
	No. of migraine episodes per 28 days	77.0 (17.5)	00.7 (20.3)	
	Mean (SD)	4.8 (1.5)	5.2 (1.7)	
	Range	2-8	2-9	
Primary and secondary	Primary endpoint: change in mean monthl			
endpoints	Secondary endpoints:	, 0		
	<ul> <li>median percent reduction in mor</li> </ul>	nthly migraine frequency		
	<ul> <li>proportion of responders (≥50%,</li> </ul>		in monthly	
	migraine frequency)			



Method of analysis	Not applicable since the endpoints for this application are not the same as those analysed in the publication.
Subgroup analyses	N/A

#### TABEL 18 SILBERSTEIN 2004

Trial name	Topiramate in migraine Prevention				
NCT number	Not stated in publication				
Objective	To assess the efficac	To assess the efficacy and safety of Topiramate as a migraine-preventive therapy			e therapy
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Topiramate in migra al. Arch Neurol 2004	•	Results of a large	controlled trial. S	Silberstein SD et
Study type and design	A 26 weeks, randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled study. The study consisted of a 28 day prospective baseline phase. The double-blind phase was divided into titration (8 weeks) and maintenance (18 weeks).				
Follow-up time	Data from the 26 we	eks double-blind	treatment phase	are presented.	
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Inclusion criteria.</li> <li>Patients age 12-65 years with 3-12 migraines during the prospective 28-day baseline phase.</li> <li>Women needed to be post -menopausal, surgically incapable of childbearing or, or using contraceptives.</li> <li>Exclusion criteria: <ul> <li>Headaches other than migraine</li> <li>failed previously 2 migraine preventive drugs</li> <li>had migraine onset after age 50.</li> <li>&gt;8 treatment days pr. month of ergots or triptans</li> <li>used B-blockers, tricyclic anti-depressants, AED's. ACE inhibitors etc.</li> <li>patients with renal impairments</li> <li>patients who had participated in previous topimarate study,</li> <li>patients who had used topimarate for 2 weeks or longer</li> <li>patients who had used an experimental drug or device within 30 days prior</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
Intervention	screening 469 patients composed the IIT population. Participants were randomized to placebo or topiramate, 50, 100 or 200 mg/WK to the assigned dose or as tolerated in 8 weeks; Maintenance therapy continued for 18 weeks.				
Baseline characteristics	Age, years (SD) Female; n Male; n MMD Weight Days of acute headache medication use pr. 28 days Data shown are mea	Topiramate 50 mg N= 117 40.2 (11.5) 107 10 6.4 (2.7) 75.7 (18.9) 5.8 (2.5)	Topiramate 100 mg N=125 40.6 (11.0) 112 3 6.4 (2.7) 78.9 (19.3) 6.4 (2.7)	Topiramate 200 mg N=112 40.5 (11.4) 94 18 6.6 (3.1) 76.7 (20.1) 6.1 (3.1)	Placebo N=115 40.4 (11.5) 103 12 6.4 (2.6) 75.6 (18.5) 6.1 (3.0)
Primary and secondary endpoints	Primary endpoint: Reduction in monthly migraine frequency across the 6 month treatment phase Secondary endpoints:				



	<ul> <li>time to onset of action</li> <li>the proportion of patients responding (≥50% reduction in monthly migraine frequency)</li> <li>Mean change in migraine days per month</li> <li>mean change in days with rescue medication per month</li> </ul>
Method of analysis	The primary endpoint was analysed using a linear model with treatment and analysis center as factors and baseline value as covariate. The least square means, which are means adjusted for the variables in the statistical model, were used to compare treatment groups. Efficacy analyses were conducted on the intent to treat population, Which was defined as those randomized patients who had at least 1 post baseline efficacy assessment. For subjects discontinuing the study early, the average monthly migraine period rate was computed based on the migraine periods observed before discontinuation.
Subgroup analyses	N/A

#### TABEL 19 STOREY 2001

Trial name	Topiramate in migraine Prevention: A double blind placebo Controlled Study	
NCT number	Not stated in publication	
Objective	To evaluate the efficacy of Topiramate in the preventive treatment of episodic migraine	
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Topiramate in migraine Prevention: A double blind placebo Controlled Study, Storey, Headache, 2001	
Study type and design	Single center double blind, placebo-controlled randomized trial to evaluate the efficacy and safety of topiramate for the preventive treatment of migraine. The study consisted of a 4-week baseline phase, an 8-week titration phase and an 8 week maintenance phase.	
Follow-up time	16 weeks double blind treatment	
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Inclusion criteria:</li> <li>men and women aged 18-65 years</li> <li>diagnosed with migraine – with or without aura, based on IHD criteria</li> <li>migraine throughout a period of 1 year, with a frequency of two or more/month</li> <li>negative pregnancy test 72 hours prior study medication</li> <li>two or more migraines per 28 days during the baseline phase</li> </ul> Exclusion criteria: <ul> <li>Patients were excluded from the study if they required medication for the symptomatic relief of migraine within a 24 hours period, plus three times per week</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>If presented with a history of more than 12 tension type headaches pr. month and unable to distinguish between headache and migraine</li> <li>If they met the DSM-IV, criteria for any substance related disorder within 12-month prior screening visit</li> <li>Usage of any experimental drug 30 days prior study entry</li> <li>History of renal calculi, Multiple Sclerosis, or a history of any medical condition, that would expose them to an increased risk of significant AE's to interfere with the assessment of efficacy and safety of the trial</li> </ul>	
Intervention	At the end of the 4-week baseline phase, eligible patients were randomized 1:1 to topiramate (n=19) or placebo (n=20). Topiramate or matched placebo was given and	



	titrated weekly in 25 mg increments over 8 we maximum tolerated doses.	eeks, to 200 mg. pr. d	ay or to the
Baseline characteristics		Topiramate	Placebo
		N=19	N=21
	Age, years (range)	38.3 (19-62)	38.1 (24-56)
	Gender		
	Female	19	20
	Male		1
	Migraine frequency per 28 daysn, (SD)	5.14 (1.56)	4.37 (1.96)
	Weight, lb (SD)	170.8 (33,3)	181.0 (41.6)
Primary and secondary endpoints	<ul> <li>Primary endpoint: The mean reduction in the 28 days migraine rate during the entire double blind phase (week5-20). The 28 day migraine rate was determined by dividing the number of migraines in the in the period and multiplying by 28.</li> <li>Secondary endpoints: <ul> <li>mean percent reduction in migraine rate</li> <li>the percentage of responders in each group</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
Method of analysis	Statistical Analysis: Not applicable since the endpoints for this application are not the same as those analysed in the publication		
Subgroup analyses	N/A		

# TCA (amitriptylin/nortriptylin)

### TABEL 20 COUCH 2011

Trial name	Amitriptyline in the Prophylactic Treatment of Migraine and Chronic Daily Headache
NCT number	Not stated
Objective	To compare amitriptyline with placebo in the treatment of intermittent migraine and chronic daily headache
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Amitriptyline in the Prophylactic Treatment of Migraine and Chronic Daily Headache. Couch JR, el al. Headache 2011
Study type and design	This study was a double-blind, placebo controlled, study comparing amitriptyline in doses of 25-100 mg/day, depending on the tolerance of the patient, with a matched placebo. Patients received placebo for 4 weeks (Period A – baseline period). After 4 weeks patients with at least 2 moderate or worse migraine headaches during Period A could be randomized into the double-blind period of 5-20 weeks (Periods B and C). Patients were randomized to either amitriptyline or placebo therapy on a 1:1 basis in blocks of 4 subjects. During Periods B and C the patient received pills that were identical to each other and identical to those dispensed in Period A, which contained either amitriptyline 25 mg or placebo. The first 4 weeks (Phase B) was a dose titration phase, and the following 12 weeks (Phase C) was a dose maintenance phase.
Follow-up time	Data from the 20-week double-blind treatment phase is presented.
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	Inclusion criteria Patients between 18 and 70 years of age with at least two moderate or worse migraine headaches per month Exclusion criteria



	<ul> <li>absence of migraine headache</li> <li>secondary headache</li> <li>pregnant females or nursing mother</li> <li>known allergy to amitriptyline</li> <li>urinary retention, glaucoma, any cardiac disease, sustained hypertension</li> <li>subjects taking guanethidine or monoamine oxidase inhibitors</li> <li>prostatic hypertrophy</li> <li>thyroid disease or taking thyroid medication</li> <li>seizure disorder</li> <li>patients taking any known preventative antimigraine agent including methysergide, propranolol, cyproheptadine, antianxiety agents, or other</li> </ul>			
	tricyclic antidepressants.			
Intervention	Placebo or amitriptyline in doses of 25-100 mg/day, depending on the tolerance of the patient. 194 patients received amitriptyline and 197 received placebo			
Baseline characteristics		Placebo	Amitriptyline	
		N=197	N=194	
	Age (years)	35,7	34,1	
	Male (n)	34 (17%)	40 (21%)	
	Female (n)	163 (83%)	154 (79%)	
Primary and secondary endpoints	<ul> <li>The major efficacy measures for this study are the frequency, duration, and severity of headaches</li> <li>Headache frequency was measured as number of days per 4 weeks with a headache of any degree of severity.</li> <li>Duration was measured in hours.</li> <li>Headache severity was measured on a 5-point scale as follows: disabling (4) – a headache so severe the patient must lie down; severe (3) – a headache severe enough that usual activity is diminished by 50% or more; however, some activity is possible; moderate (2) – a headache that limits usual activity by less than 50%; mild (1) – a headache that is present but does not limit activity; no headache (0).</li> </ul>			
Method of analysis	Not applicable since the endpoints for this application are not the same as those analysed in the publication			
Subgroup analyses	None	None		

## TABEL 21 GONCALVES 2016

Trial name	Double Blind Randomized Study Controlled by Placebo and Amitriptylin to Evaluate the Efficacy of Melatonin in the Preventive Treatment of Migraine
NCT number	NCT01357031
Objective	The purpose of this study was to determine the effectiveness of melatonin 3 mg compared to placebo and amitriptyline 25 mg in the preventive treatment of migraine.
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Randomised clinical trial comparing melatonin 3 mg, amitriptyline 25 mg and placebo for migraine prevention. Gonçalves AL, et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2016
Study type and design	The study was a randomized, multicenter, parallel-group study. Melatonin 3 mg was compared with amitriptyline 25 mg and placebo. The study consisted of a 4-week period to established baseline measures followed by a 12-week treatment period. Randomization was performed centrally with the use of



	randomization lists with randomly permuted block lengths stratified according to center. Patients, treating clinicians and the outcome assessor were blinded.				
Follow-up time					
Follow-up time Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>center. Patients, treating clinicians and the outcome assessor were blinded.</li> <li>Data from the 12 week double-blind treatment period is presented.</li> <li>Inclusion Criteria: <ul> <li>age of 18–65 years;</li> <li>migraine with or without aura criteria according to the International Classification of Headache Disorders, third edition, β-version12 for at least 1 year</li> <li>age of onset before 50 years,</li> <li>at least three migraine headache attacks or four migraine headache days (defined as any occurrence of migraine headache pain of at least 30 min in duration with acute treatment) per month,</li> <li>presents with migraine or non-migraine headache attacks &lt;15 days per month during each of the 3 months prior to the screening visit and the reference period.</li> <li>Migraine diagnosis was performed by a trained neurologist headache specialist.</li> <li>Women were eligible if they were unable to bear children or if they were not pregnant and using adequate contraception.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>ergotam per mor</li> <li>in use o calcium norepin treatme</li> <li>had pre</li> <li>had unc</li> </ul>	of psychiatric di nine, triptan, op nth, or simple ar f preventive me channel blocke ephrine reuptak ent viously taken m controlled hyper tting diastolic bl	nalgesic intake for > dications such as β rs, antiepileptic dru ke inhibitors; and w elatonin, amitriptyl tension (ie, sitting s	or present); n medication intake for >10 days >15 days per month for >3 months; -blockers, tricyclic antidepressants, ags, bupropion, serotonergic vere unable to discontinue the line or agomelatine; systolic blood pressure >160 mm nm Hg) at the screening visit or at	
Intervention	Patients were randomized 1:1:1 to amitriptyline 25 mg/day (n=59), melatonine 3 mg/day (n=60) and placebo (n=59)				
Baseline characteristics	Age (years) Female (n) BMI Kg/m2	Placebo N=59 36.6 45 (76.3%) 24.6	Amitriptyline N=59 37.2 44 (74.6%) 411		
Primary and secondary endpoints	<ul> <li>The primary efficacy outcome measure was frequency in number of migraine headache days per month comparing baseline with the past 4 weeks of treatment.</li> <li>Secondary end points included         <ul> <li>reduction in migraine intensity, attack duration,</li> <li>number of analgesics used and</li> <li>percentages of patients with greater than 50% reductions in migraine headache days.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
Method of analysis	Efficacy data were analyzed for the intention-to-treat population, defined as randomized patients who received at least one dose of the study medication and provided at least one post-baseline efficacy assessment. Missing days as non-migraine headache days. An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) model was used to test the null				



	hypothesis of no difference between placebo and the average of the values for the three groups. Results were summarized using the adjusted mean and SE for each treatment group, a 95% CI for the change from baseline for each treatment group, a model estimate of the difference between each active treatment group and placebo, a 95% CI for the difference, and an associated p value and adjusted p value for the difference. Analysis of the primary end point was carried out using a combination of a sequential method and a Hochberg procedure to maintain the experiment-wise $\alpha$ level of 0.05.
Subgroup analyses	None

# Valproat

### TABEL 22 FREITAG 2002

Trial name	A randomized trial of divalproex sodium extended-release tablets in migraine prophylaxis			
NCT number	Not stated in publication			
Objective	To evaluate the efficacy and safety of extended-release divalproex sodium compared with placebo in prophylactic monotherapy treatment of migraine headache.			
Publications – title, author, journal, year	A randomized trial of divalproex sodium extended-release tablets in migraine prophylaxis. Freitag FG, et al. Neurology 2002.			
Study type and design	prophylaxis. Freitag FG, et al. Neurology 2002.This was a 17-week multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study consisting of three phases: a 4-week baseline phase; a 12-week double-blind experimental phase; and a 1-week double-blind termination phase.During the baseline phase, subjects maintained a headache diary in which headache activity was recorded. Subjects compliant in maintaining a headache diary and who 			
Follow-up time	Data from the 12-week double-blind experimental phase are presented.			
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Inclusion criteria:</li> <li>Men or woman more 12 years or older</li> <li>More than two migraine headache attacks during a 4-week baseline period</li> <li>Exclusion criteria:</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Women who were lactating or pregnant</li> <li>subjects who had headaches an average of _15 days per month; had ever experienced cluster headaches;</li> </ul>			



r	<u> </u>			
				e of treatment with valproate or
	<ul> <li>divalproex sodium for migraine headaches</li> <li>had a CNS neoplasm or infection, demyelinating disease, degenerative</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>had a CNS neoplasm or infection, demyelinating disease, degenerative neurologic disease, or progressive CNS disease</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>had failed more than two adequate trials of prophylactic antimigraine</li> </ul>			
	regimens			
	<ul> <li>or who had received prophylactic antimigraine medication within five half- lives of that medication before entering the baseline phase.</li> </ul>			
Intervention	Subjects initiated treatment on 500 mg once daily for 1 week, and the dose was then			
	increased to 1,000 mg once daily with an option, if intolerance occurred, to			
	permanently decrease the dose to 500 mg during the second week. 122 patients was			
	randomized to active treatment and 101 patients completed			
Baseline characteristics		Placebo	Treatment 1	]
Baseline characteristics		N=115	N=122	
				-
	Age (years)	41.3	39.8	-
	Male (n)	25 (22%)	25 (20%)	-
	Female (n)	90 (78%)	97 (80%)	
	Weight (kg)	74.5	74.39	
	Height (cm)	166.88	166.88	
Primary and secondary endpoints Method of analysis	The primary efficacy variable was the experimental phase reduction from baseline (i.e., the baseline phase) in 4-week migraine headache rate. The 4-week rates for the experimental and baseline phases were calculated for each subject as the number of migraine headaches during the study phase multiplied by the ratio of 28 days to the actual number of days in the phase. The principal secondary variables were the experimental phase percent reduction from baseline in 4-week migraine headache rate, assessing both actual percentages and the proportion of subjects achieving at least a 50% reduction, and the experimental phase reduction from baseline in the number of migraine headache days per 4 weeks. Other secondary variables included the experimental phase changes from baseline in the proportions of migraine headaches treated with particular classes of symptomatic medications (e.g., triptans).			
	The primary and secondary efficacy variables chosen for the current study were specified in the protocol and were based on (or were slight modifications of) variables included in the IHS committee guidelines for controlled trials of drugs in migraine,14 including the committee's recommended use of the 4-week migraine headache rate as the primary efficacy variable and the 24-hour headache free rule in calculating the migraine headache rates. Per this rule, migraine headache attacks separated by a _24- hour headache-free interval were combined and considered as a single migraine headache in calculations of 4-week migraine headache rates. The efficacy data set was an intent-to-treat data set that included all data from randomized subjects who received study drug and provided at least one headache evaluation during the experimental phase. The primary efficacy variable was the experimental phase reduction from baseline (i.e., the baseline phase) in 4-week migraine headache rate. The 4-week rates for the experimental and baseline phases were calculated for each subject as the number of migraine headaches during the study phase multiplied by the ratio of 28 days to the actual number of days in the phase. The principal secondary variables were the experimental phase percent reduction			
	from baseline in 4-week migraine headache rate, assessing both actual percentages			



	and the proportion of subjects achieving at least a 50% reduction, and the experimental phase reduction from baseline in the number of migraine headache days per 4 weeks.
	The nonparametric van Elteren method of linearly combining Wilcoxon test results from individual investigators, using weights recommended by Lehmann, was the protocol-specified primary analysis method for the continuous variables. Ninety-five percent CI of weighted treatment differences in means for these variables were derived using the analogous protocol-specified alternative analysis method, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) model that weighted treatment differences at each investigator site inversely proportional to the variance of the estimated treatment group difference.
Subgroup analyses	None

### TABEL 23 JENSEN 1994

Trial name	Sodium valproate has a prophylactic effect in migraine without aura: A triple-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study	
NCT number	None	
Objective	To evaluate if sodium valproate has a prophylactic effect in migraine without aura.	
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Sodium valproate has a prophylactic effect in migraine without aura: A triple-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study. Jensen R, et al. Neurology 1994	
Study type and design	A triple-blind, dose-controlled, crossover study in patients with migraine without aura. After a 4-week medication-free run-in period, patients eligible for inclusion were randomized to sodium valproate or placebo. After randomization, all patients were given three apparently identical tablets per day during the entire trial. The treatment periods were separated by a 4-week wash-out period with three placebo tablets per day. Thereafter, the patients were shifted to either placebo or sodium valproate in a similar 12-week treatment period.	
Follow-up time	Data from the 12 week triple-blind treatment phases is presented.	
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Inclusion <ul> <li>a diagnosis of migraine without aura, a history of migraine for at least 1 year</li> <li>2 to 10 days with migraine per month</li> <li>age between 18 and 70 years</li> <li>women of childbearing potential had to use adequate contraceptive measures throughout the study.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	Exclusion criteria	
	<ul> <li>daily headache</li> <li>more than six attacks per year of migraine with aura</li> <li>cluster headache or trigeminal neuralgia</li> <li>other neurologic, somatic, or psychiatric diseases</li> <li>other migraine prophylaxis</li> <li>any form of drug abuse or dependency, including daily ergotamine or large amounts of plain analgesics</li> <li>previous participation in more than two migraine drug trials.</li> </ul>	
Intervention	Randomization assigned 22 patients to the sodium valproate-placebo sequence (group A) and 21 patients to the placebo-sodium valproate sequence (group B). Doses of valproate was 1000-1500 mg based on serum valproate level.	



Baseline characteristics		Group A Valproate-	Group B Placebo-
		Placebo	Valproate
		N=22	N=21
	Age		
	Mean (years)	45	47
	Range	28-58	27-62
	Male/Female	4/18	2/19
	Frequency of migraine/4 weeks		
	Mean	6.3	6.8
	Range	(3-10)	(4-10)
endpoints	The mean number of days with migra the placebo period. Secondary endpoints: Frequency of tension-type headache, consumption. Responders defined as those patients reduced to 50% or less when compare	headache intensity, heada for whom the frequency o	che duration, and drug of migraine days was
Method of analysis	Patients who dropped out of the trial after randomization were excluded from the statistical analysis, but reasons for dropping out were recorded. The primary efficacy variable was the treatment effect, i.e., the mean number of days with migraine during sodium valproate as compared with the placebo period. Other variables were considered secondary. A nonparametric statistical test, Wilcoxon's rank sum test, was used to test the treatment effect. A <b>5%</b> level of significance was used.		
Subgroup analyses	None		

### TABEL 24 KLAPPER 1997

Trial name	Divalproex sodium in migraine prophylaxis: a dose-controlled study
NCT number	None
Objective	To evaluate the efficacy and safety of divalproex sodium (DVPX) when used as prophylactic monotherapy in patients with migraine.
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Divalproex sodium in migraine prophylaxis: a dose-controlled study. Klapper J et al. Cephalalgia 1997
Study type and design	Design: Multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group. During a 4-week (single-blind) baseline phase (BP), patients received placebo and completed a headache diary. Patients completing the BP who had experienced at least two migraine attacks during this period were randomized to one of four treatment groups (placebo, or either 500 mg, 1000 mg, or 1500 mg DVPX) in a 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 ratio within each study center. The experimental phase (EP) lasted 12 weeks, the first 4 weeks for dose escalation to randomized dose, and the remaining 8 weeks for maintenance at that dose.
Follow-up time	Data from the 12 week double-blind experimental phase are presented.
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	Inclusion criteria: Patients 16 years or older were eligible to enroll in the study if they had suffered migraine attacks with or without aura (as defined by the International Headache Society criteria) for at least <b>6</b> months prior to the study and had averaged at least two migraine attacks per month during the previous <b>3</b> months.



<ul> <li>investigator, had previor month of treatment at Patients already receivin medications and completives of the medication Exclusion criteria:</li> <li>Patients were excluded interval headaches) on always unassociated wit Also excluded were prevent effective birth control, and patients with a sign requiring medication the Disallowed concomitant tricyclic antidepressant methysergide maleate, warfarin, and any of the non-steroidal anti-inflat cyproheptadine hydrood Treatment of individual days per week.</li> <li>Patients were randomize (n=43), or 1500 (n=44)</li> <li>The EP began with a 4-w maintenance period. The daily dose was then ince</li> </ul>	pusly failed no m a full therapeuti ing prophylactic lete a washout p prior to enrollm d from the study more than 15 da ith headache, or egnant women, v patients previou hificant medical of hat could have co to medications in cs, calcium chann lithium carbona e following used mmatory agents chloride. omatic medicatic l headaches durin zed to receive a mg, or to placeb week dose titration the initial daily do creased by 250 m	ore than two ad c dose) of proph treatment were eriod of a length ent. if they experiend ays per month, h had cluster hea- vomen of child-h sly treated with or psychiatric dis onfounded data cluded beta-adr nel blockers, mon te, phenobarbit on a daily basiss a, analgesics, ber ons was allowed ng the study, bu valproate daily c o (n=44). ion period and v ose for DVPX-tre ng every 4 days (	lequate trials (e. hylactic therapy required to disc n equivalent to a ced other heada had migraines w daches. bearing potentia valproate, sorder, particula interpretation. energic blocking noamine oxidase al, phenytoin, an cergotamine pre- toose of 500 (n=4 was followed by ated patients wa every 8 days for	g. at least 1 were eligible. continue these at least five half- inche types (i.e. hich were al not practicing arly one g agents, e inhibitors, rbamazepine, eparations, r d basis for e less than <b>3</b> (5), 1000 an 8-week dose as 250 mg. The
-		-		-
	Placebo	Divalproex sodium 500 mg	Divalproex sodium 1000 mg	Divalproex sodium 1500 mg
	N=44	N=45	N = 43	N = 44
	40.2	40.2	40.2	40.2
				(19-67)
	(13 07)	(13 07)	(13 07)	(13 07)
	Q1%	93	88%	84%
	51/0	33	0070	04/0
	<u></u> <u> </u>	<u></u> 80%	80%	89%
				7%
				5%
	570	570	570	570
	68.4	68.4	68.4	68.4
				(37.2-109.5)
				21.3
				45%
other prophylactic	5570	5070	5070	- <b></b>
_	investigator, had previo month of treatment at Patients already receivi medications and compl lives of the medication Exclusion criteria: Patients were excluded interval headaches) on always unassociated wi Also excluded were pre- effective birth control, and patients with a sign requiring medication th Disallowed concomitant tricyclic antidepressant methysergide maleate, warfarin, and any of the non-steroidal anti-infla cyproheptadine hydroc Treatment with symptor treatment of individual days per week. Patients were randomia (n=43), or 1500 (n=44) The EP began with a 4-m maintenance period. Th daily dose was then ince the 500 mg group) unti study medication was t and evening. The dose remainder of the study Age (years) Mean Range Gender Female Race Caucasian Black Other Weight Mean (kg) Range Years with migraine Previously used	investigator, had previously failed normmonth of treatment at a full therapeutiPatients already receiving prophylacticmedications and complete a washout plives of the medication prior to enrollmExclusion criteria:Patients were excluded from the studyinterval headaches) on more than 15 daalways unassociated with headache, orAlso excluded were pregnant women, weffective birth control, patients previouand patients with a significant medical arequiring medication that could have coDisallowed concomitant medications intricyclic antidepressants, calcium chanmethysergide maleate, lithium carbonawarfarin, and any of the following usednon-steroidal anti-inflammatory agentscyproheptadine hydrochloride.Treatment of individual headaches duriddays per week.Patients were randomized to receive a(n=43), or 1500 (n=44) mg, or to placebThe EP began with a 4-week dose titratmaintenance period. The initial daily dodaily dose was then increased by 250 mthe 500 mg group) until the assigned rastudy medication was taken twice dailyand evening. The dose then remained fremainder of the study.PlaceboN=44Age (years)Mean40.2Range(19-67)GenderFemale91%RaceCaucasianB9%Black7%Other5%Weight <td< td=""><td>investigator, had previously failed no more than two ad month of treatment at a full therapeutic dose) of proph Patients already receiving prophylactic treatment were medications and complete a washout period of a length lives of the medication prior to enrollment. Exclusion criteria: Patients were excluded from the study if they experienci interval headaches) on more than 15 days per month, f always unassociated with headache, or had cluster head Also excluded were pregnant women, women of child-1 effective birth control, patients previously treated with and patients with a significant medical or psychiatric dir requiring medication that could have confounded data Disallowed concomitant medications included beta-adr tricyclic antidepressants, calcium channel blockers, mon methysergide maleate, lithium carbonate, phenobarbit warfarin, and any of the following used on a daily basis non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, analgesics, ber cyproheptadine hydrochloride. Treatment with symptomatic medications was allowed treatment of individual headaches during the study, bu days per week.Patients were randomized to receive a valproate daily of (n=43), or 1500 (n=44) mg, or to placebo (n=44). The EP began with a 4-week dose titration period and v maintenance period. The initial daily dose for DVPX-tre daily dose was then increased by 250 mg every 4 days ( the 500 mg group) until the assigned randomized dose study medication was taken twice daily in equal, divide and evening. The dose then remained fixed at the rand remainder of the study.Mean40.240.2Range Caucasian89% 89% 89% 81ack7% 7% 7% 7% 0therOther5% 5% 5% 5% Weight68.4Mean (kg)68.468.4Range (</td><td>Exclusion criteria:Patients were excluded from the study if they experienced other heada interval headaches) on more than 15 days per month, had migraines w always unassociated with headache, or had cluster headaches.Also excluded were pregnant women, women of child-bearing potentia effective birth control, patients previously treated with valproate, and patients with a significant medical or psychiatric disorder, particula requiring medication that could have confounded data interpretation.Disallowed concomitant medications included beta-adrenergic blocking tricyclic antidepressants, calcium channel blockers, monoamine oxidas methysergide maleate, lithium carbonate, phenobarbital, phenytoin, al warfarin, and any of the following used on a daily basis: ergotamine pro non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, analgesics, benzodiazepines, o cyproheptadine hydrochloride.Treatment with symptomatic medications was allowed on an as-neede treatment of individual headaches during the study, but was to average days per week.Patients were randomized to receive a valproate daily dose of 500 (n=4 (n=43), or 1500 (n=44) mg, or to placebo (n=44).The EP began with a 4-week dose titration period and was followed by maintenance period. The initial daily dose for DVPX-treated patients wid ality dose was then increased by 250 mg every 4 days (every 8 days for the 500 mg group) until the assigned randomized dose was achieved, a study medication was taken twice daily in equal, divided doses, mornin and evening. The dose then remained fixed at the randomized dose thr remainder of the study.Mean40.240.240.2Mean40.240.2Mean40.240.2Range(19-67)(19-67)Gender</td></td<>	investigator, had previously failed no more than two ad month of treatment at a full therapeutic dose) of proph Patients already receiving prophylactic treatment were medications and complete a washout period of a length lives of the medication prior to enrollment. Exclusion criteria: Patients were excluded from the study if they experienci interval headaches) on more than 15 days per month, f always unassociated with headache, or had cluster head Also excluded were pregnant women, women of child-1 effective birth control, patients previously treated with and patients with a significant medical or psychiatric dir requiring medication that could have confounded data Disallowed concomitant medications included beta-adr tricyclic antidepressants, calcium channel blockers, mon methysergide maleate, lithium carbonate, phenobarbit warfarin, and any of the following used on a daily basis non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, analgesics, ber cyproheptadine hydrochloride. Treatment with symptomatic medications was allowed treatment of individual headaches during the study, bu days per week.Patients were randomized to receive a valproate daily of (n=43), or 1500 (n=44) mg, or to placebo (n=44). The EP began with a 4-week dose titration period and v maintenance period. The initial daily dose for DVPX-tre daily dose was then increased by 250 mg every 4 days ( the 500 mg group) until the assigned randomized dose study medication was taken twice daily in equal, divide and evening. The dose then remained fixed at the rand remainder of the study.Mean40.240.2Range Caucasian89% 89% 89% 81ack7% 7% 7% 7% 0therOther5% 5% 5% 5% Weight68.4Mean (kg)68.468.4Range (	Exclusion criteria:Patients were excluded from the study if they experienced other heada interval headaches) on more than 15 days per month, had migraines w always unassociated with headache, or had cluster headaches.Also excluded were pregnant women, women of child-bearing potentia effective birth control, patients previously treated with valproate, and patients with a significant medical or psychiatric disorder, particula requiring medication that could have confounded data interpretation.Disallowed concomitant medications included beta-adrenergic blocking tricyclic antidepressants, calcium channel blockers, monoamine oxidas methysergide maleate, lithium carbonate, phenobarbital, phenytoin, al warfarin, and any of the following used on a daily basis: ergotamine pro non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, analgesics, benzodiazepines, o cyproheptadine hydrochloride.Treatment with symptomatic medications was allowed on an as-neede treatment of individual headaches during the study, but was to average days per week.Patients were randomized to receive a valproate daily dose of 500 (n=4 (n=43), or 1500 (n=44) mg, or to placebo (n=44).The EP began with a 4-week dose titration period and was followed by maintenance period. The initial daily dose for DVPX-treated patients wid ality dose was then increased by 250 mg every 4 days (every 8 days for the 500 mg group) until the assigned randomized dose was achieved, a study medication was taken twice daily in equal, divided doses, mornin and evening. The dose then remained fixed at the randomized dose thr remainder of the study.Mean40.240.240.2Mean40.240.2Mean40.240.2Range(19-67)(19-67)Gender



Primary and secondary endpoints	<ul> <li>The primary efficacy variable was the 4-week migraine attack frequency (i.e. the number of migraine attacks, with or without aura, during the EP' multiplied by the ratio of 28 days to the actual number of days the patient was treated).</li> <li>The proportional reduction from baseline in migraine attack frequencies was also evaluated.</li> <li>Other headache characteristics evaluated included</li> <li>the duration and peak severity of migraine attacks that continued to occur</li> <li>the numbers of days per 4 weeks with migraine attacks that impair usual activities or necessitating symptomatic medication, and</li> <li>the 4-week attack frequencies of migraines with nausea, vomiting, photophobia and/or phonophobia and of all non-migraine headache types combined.</li> </ul>
Method of analysis	Not applicable since the endpoints for this application are not the same as those analysed in the publication
Subgroup analyses	None

### TABEL 25 MATHEW 1995

Trial name	Migraine Prophylaxis With Divalproex	
NCT number	None	
Objective	To compare the effectiveness and safety of divalproex sodium (Depakote) and placebo in the prophylaxis of migraine headache.	
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Migraine prohylaxis with Divalproex. Mathew NT, et al. Arch Neurol. 1995	
Study type and design	The investigation was conducted as a randomized, placebo controlled, double-blind, parallel-group, multicenter study, designed to compare the efficacy and safety of divalproex with that of placebo in the prophylaxis of migraine headache. The study was divided into two phases: a baseline phase (4 weeks) and treatment phase (12 weeks with 4-week dose adjustment and 8-week maintenance). Patients were randomized to groups receiving divalproex or placebo in a 2:1 ratio of divalproex to placebo within each center. Total duration of the study was 16 weeks.	
Follow-up time	Data from the 12 week double-blind treatment phase is presented.	
Study type and design	The investigation was conducted as a randomized, placebo controlled, double-blind, parallel-group, multicenter study, designed to compare the efficacy and safety of divalproex with that of placebo in the prophylaxis of migraine headache. The study was divided into two phases: a baseline phase (4 weeks) and treatment phase (12 weeks with 4-week dose adjustment and 8-week maintenance). Patients were randomized to groups receiving divalproex or placebo in a 2:1 ratio of divalproex to placebo within each center. Total duration of the study was 16 weeks.	
Follow-up time	Data from the 12 week double-blind treatment phase is presented.	
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Inclusion criteria:</li> <li>16 to 75 years of age</li> <li>have suffered migraine episodes with or without aura per International Headache Society criteria for 6 or more months previously;</li> <li>migraine frequency was required to be two or more episodes per month for the previous 3 months</li> </ul>	



	<ul> <li>the patient had not receive no more than two adequations prophylactic antimigrained</li> </ul>	ate trials, in the inves		
	Exclusion criteria:			
	<ul> <li>only migraine episodes unassociated with headache</li> <li>chronic daily headaches or tension-type headaches occurring more than 15 days per month</li> <li>cluster headaches</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>a history of any significant that would confound data known effects included a</li> <li>a history of poor complia</li> <li>a history of previous valp</li> </ul>	a interpretation or re ntimigraine prophyla: nce with previous me roate use	quired medication v xis)	
Intervention	<ul> <li>women of child bearing potential</li> <li>Patients were randomized to groups receiving divalproex or placebo in a 2:1 ratio of divalproex (n=70) to placebo (n=37). Treatment with divalproex sodium was started at a dose of 250 mg/d; doses were then titrated upward at recommended increments of 250 mg every other day (or 250 mg every third day for patients weighing &lt;60 kg) with the goal of achieving a trough plasma valproate sodium concentration of approximately 70 to 120 mg/L. The dose of placebo was adjusted in a similar fashion to maintain the blind.</li> </ul>			
Baseline characteristics		Placebo	Valproate	]
		N=37	N=70	
	Age (years)	43	47	_
	Female %	73	80	-
	Duration of migraine diagnosis	2		-
	Previous prophylactic treatments	1.	3	
Primary and secondary endpoints	<ul> <li>The primary outcome measure was the 4-week migraine headache frequency (ie, the number of migraine headaches, with or without aura, per 4 weeks) during the treatment phase.</li> <li>Secondary outcomes: <ul> <li>proportion of patients with a reduction of 50% or more in 4-week migraine headache frequencies compared with the baseline phase</li> <li>the average duration of episodes</li> <li>the average severity of episodes at peak intensity (peak severity)</li> <li>the average severity related to functional ability (assessment of functional restriction)</li> <li>the average symptomatic medication usage (measuring usage days of each medication summed across medications) per episode</li> <li>the 4-week frequencies of migraine headaches with associated nausea, vomiting, aura, photophobia, and phonophobia</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
Method of analysis Subgroup analyses	Analyses were performed using all data from randomized patients. The nonparametric Van Elteren method of linearly combining Wilcoxon test results from individual investigators, using weights recommended by Lehmann, was the method used to compare treatment groups with respect to the primary efficacy outcome measure. The Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel statistic was used to compare treatment groups with respect to the proportion of patients with a 50% or greater reduction in 4-week migraine headache frequencies. All hypothesis tests were two tailed, and values of .05 or less were considered significant.			
Sandi oup analyses	None			



### TABEL 26 SARCHIELLI 2014

Trial name	Sodium valproate in migraine wir randomized controlled trial	thout aura and medication o	veruse headache: A
NCT number	None		
Objective	To assess the efficacy, safety and with placebo in medication overu aura.		
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Sodium valproate in migraine wir randomized controlled trial. Sarc		
Study type and design	A double-blind placebo-controlled study. Treatment included a 4-week baseline period, during which no study medication was given), followed by a 6-day in patient detoxification phase (in which abused drugs were promptly discontinued) and a 12-week double-blind treatment period, with valproate 800mg/day or placebo. After the detoxification phase, the patients were advised to discontinue the overused medication. Eligible patients who completed the prospective baseline period and detoxification phase were sequentially assigned in a 1:1 ratio to either VPA or placebo and received a random computer- generated medication code number, in compliance with a permuted block randomization design. Neither the patients nor the clinic staff were aware of the study medication assigned.		
Follow-up time	Data from the 12-week double-bl	ind treatment period are pres	ented.
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Data from the 12-week double-blind treatment period are presented.</li> <li>Inclusion criteria: <ul> <li>Outpatients aged 18–65 year</li> <li>Established past history of episodic migraine without aura, and a diagnosis of medication overuse headache according to the International Headache Society revised criteria (Silberstein et al., 2008) during the previous 3 months with all other causes of secondary headache ruled out</li> <li>Patients had to be willing to comply with all appointments for clinic visits, tests, and with the procedures required by the protocol, and had to have returned the informed consent form.</li> <li>Females were eligible only if of non-childbearing potential or using an adequate contraceptive method</li> </ul> </li> <li>Exclusion criteria: <ul> <li>Patients taking a headache-prevention medication during the month preceding enrollment</li> <li>Known allergic reactions to drugs</li> <li>Assuming prohibited concomitant therapy (other antiepileptic drugs; tricyclic antidepres- sants; anticoagulants; neuroleptics; abused benzodiazepines)</li> <li>History or suspicion of alcohol abuse or illicit drug use in the previous 2 years</li> <li>Past or present history of a serious illness, or metabolic disorder</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
Intervention	44 patients received valproate 80	0 mg and 44 patients received	d placebo.
Baseline characteristics	Female Male Age 18-34 Age 35-44	Placebo N=44 35 (79.5%) 9 (20.5%) 5 20	Amitriptyline N=44 34 (77.3%) 10 (22.7%) 8 14
	Age 45-54	13	17
	Age 55-64	6	5



	BMI < 18	24	27
	BMI 18-24,9	14	9
	BMI 25-29,9	1	2
	BMI ≥ 30	5	5
	Headache duration < 10 years	6 (13.6%)	7 (15.9%)
Primary and secondary endpoints		prospective 4-week baseline headache duration and severity of head	phase to the last 4 weeks of ache attacks
	<ul> <li>the number of days per r</li> </ul>	nonth with acute medication	S
Method of analysis	<ul> <li>the monthly frequency, duration and severity of headache attacks</li> <li>the number of days per month with acute medications</li> <li>Descriptive statistics were reported as counts and percentages, mean and standard deviation (SD) or median and range. Categorical and continuous variables were compared between the two groups with the Fisher Exact test or the ChiSquare test as appropriate and the Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney Test. Changes in headache frequency, number of days with acute medications, and number of rescue drugs were compared using Analysis of Variance for repeated measures. Correlations within patients were modeled using the "unstructured" correlation matrix. The results of ANOVA have been displayed as "treatment", "time" and "treatment _time" effects. Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney and the signed-rank tests were used to assess differences between and within each group. Multivariable logistic regression models were applied on the primary end point to adjust for possible confounders or imbalances in the two groups (age, sex, disease duration, chronicity duration, co-morbidities and antecedent surgeries). Results are reported as ORs (odds ratios) and 95% confidence intervals (95%CIs). The Poisson distribution for count data was used to assess incidence and 95% CI of adverse events in the two arms. Statistical analyses were performed in both the intent-to-treat (ITT) and completers populations. All efficacy outcomes in the ITT population were assessed using the last observation carried forward (LOCF) approach. Results reported in this work always refer to the ITT population</li> </ul>		
Subgroup analyses	None		

## Botox

### TABEL 27 AURORA 2010. PREEMPT 1.

Trial name	PREEMPT I	
NCT number	NCT00156910	
Objective	This is the first of a pair of studies designed to assess efficacy, safety and tolerability of onabotulinumtoxinA (BOTOX <sup>®</sup> ) as headache prophylaxis in adults with chronic migraine.	
Publications – title, author, journal, year	OnabotulinumtoxinA for treatment of chronic migraine: Results from the double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase of the PREEMPT I trial, Aurora SK. et al. Cephalalgia, 2010.	
	<ul> <li>Pooled analyses:</li> <li>OnabotulinumtoxinA for treatment of chronic migraine: pooled analyses of the 56-week PREEMPT clinical program. Aurora SK, et al. Headache 2011</li> </ul>	



	<ul> <li>Per cent of patients with chronic migraine who responded per onabotulinumtoxinA treatment cycle: PREEMPT. Silberstein SD, et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2015</li> <li>OnabotulinumtoxinA for treatment of chronic migraine: pooled results from the double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phases of the PREEMPT clinical program. Dodick DW, et al. Headache. 2010</li> <li>OnabotulinumtoxinA for chronic migraine: efficacy, safety, and tolerability in patients who received all five treatment cycles in the PREEMPT clinical program. Aurora SK et al. Acta Neurol Scand 2014</li> <li>Pooled analysis of the safety and tolerability of onabotulinumtoxinA in the treatment of chronic migraine. Diener H et al. European Journal of Neurology 2014</li> <li>OnabotulinumtoxinA improves quality of life and reduces impact of chronic migraine, Lipton R.B. et al. Neurology, 2011</li> <li>OnabotulinumtoxinA improves quality of life and reduces impact of chronic migraine over one year of treatment: Pooled results from the PREEMPT randomized clinical trial program Lipton RB et al. Cephalalgia 2016</li> <li>The impact of onabotulinumtoxinA on severe headache days: PREEMPT 56-week pooled analysis. Matharu M et al. The Journal of Headache and Pain 2017</li> </ul>
Study type and design	Phase III with a 24-week, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled phase followed by a 32-week, open-label phase. Enrolled patients were randomly assigned 1:1, Randomization was stratified based on the frequency of acute headache pain medication intake during the 28-day baseline as yes/no overuse of acute headache pain medications, where medication overuse–yes was defined as intake during baseline of simple analgesics on 15 days, or other medication types or combination of types for 10 days, with intake 2 days/week from the category of overuse. The randomization sequence was generated using SAS programming language (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Randomization programmers had access to the central server, where the randomization sequence was kept. The study is Completed.
Follow-up time	Primary analysis after 24 weeks
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Inclusion Criteria:</li> <li>Frequent migraine (≥15 headache days per month)</li> <li>≥4 distinct headache episodes lasting ≥4 hours</li> <li>≥50% of baseline headache days migraine/probable migraine days</li> <li>Exclusion Criteria:</li> <li>Previous use of botulinum toxin of any serotype or immunization to any botulinum toxin serotype</li> <li>Any medical condition that puts the patient at increased risk with exposure to BOTOX</li> <li>Diagnosis of complicated migraine, chronic tension-type headache, hypnic headache, hemicrania continua, new daily persistent headache</li> <li>Use of prophylactic headache medication within 28 days prior to week -4</li> <li>Unremitting headache lasting continuously throughout the 4-week baseline period</li> <li>Known or suspected Temporomandibular Disorders (TMD)</li> <li>Diagnosis of fibromyalgia</li> <li>Beck depression inventory score &gt;24 at week-4</li> <li>Psychiatric problems that may have interfered with study participation</li> </ul>
Intervention	Biological: Botulinum Toxin Type A
	Two treatment sessions in the double-blind phase and three treatment sessions in the open-label extension phase. Total minimum dose is 155 U with 31 fixed-site,



	fixed dose injections across s maximum dose of 195 U with Other Name: BOTOX®	-	
	Other: Placebo (saline)		
	Two treatment sessions in th	ctions across seven specifi	al minimum dose in 155 U with c head/neck muscle areas and jections.
Baseline characteristics		Placebo N= 338	Botulinum Toxin Type A N= 341
	Age	42.1	41.2
	Female, %	85.8	89.1
	Monthly migarine days	19.1 (4.1)	19.1 (4.0)
	% patients with 1 or more	64.2	59.5
	prophylaxis		
	Mean BMI	27.3	26.7
	% patients with medication overuse	69.8	66.3
Primary and secondary endpoints	The primary endpoint in PREEMPT 1 was mean change from baseline in frequency of headache episodes for the 28-day period ending with week 24.		
	<ul> <li>the patient reported 4 dependence</li> <li>Migraine days (defined meeting ICHD-II criteria</li> <li>Migraine episodes (defined time indicating that the for migraine 1.1, 1.2, or</li> <li>Overall acute headache hereafter as acute pain</li> </ul>	continuous hours of heada as a calendar day with 4 of for migraine 1.1, 1.2, or 1 ned as patient-reported h pain lasted 4 continuous 1.6) pain medication use (all of medication intakes)	continuous hours of headache 6) eadache with a start and stop hours and met ICHD-II criteria categories; referred to
Method of analysis	hereafter as acute pain medication intakes)         All efficacy analyses used the intent-to-treat population, which included all randomized patients. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) of the change from baseline, with the same variable's baseline values as covariate, with main effects of treatment group and medication overuse strata.         Scores for months with ≥20 days of diary data were prorated to 28-day equivalents. Scores for months with <10 days of diary data were estimated using a modified last observation carried forward (mLOCF) methodology. This involved the substitution of the patient's previous 28-day period score multiplied by the ratio of the mean across all patients in the 28-day period of interest divided by the mean across all patients in the 28-day period. Scores for months with 10–19 days of diary data were estimated using an average of the prorated and mLOCF estimates. The mLOCF method of imputation of missing data was prespecified, but sensitivity analyses were also done (e.g., using observed data without imputation). For binomial variables, the between-group comparisons were done with Pearson's Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests, except that logistic regression with the same variable's baseline as covariate was used for variables with baseline imbalance. A two-sided test with p ≤ .05 was considered to be statistically significant. No control of the type-1 error rate for multiple secondary endpoints was prespecified in PREEMPT 1. Therefore, a highly conservative Bonferroni adjustment was applied to compare the week 24 p values to a critical level of .01, which adjusted the prespecified type-1 error rate of .05 for the five variables that were prespecified as primary or secondary.		



Subgroup analyses	None

# TABEL 28 DIENER 2010. PREEMPT 2.

Trial name	PREEMPT II	
NCT number	NCT00168428	
Objective	This is the second of a pair of studies designed to assess efficacy and safety of onabotulinumtoxinA (BOTOX®) for prophylaxis of headaches in adults with chronic migraine.	
Publications – title, author, journal, year	OnabotulinumtoxinA for treatment of chronic migraine: Results from the double- blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase of the PREEMPT 2 trial, Diener H.C. et al. Cephalalgia, 2010	
	<ul> <li>Pooled analysis:</li> <li>OnabotulinumtoxinA for treatment of chronic migraine: pooled analyses of the 56-week PREEMPT clinical program. Aurora SK, et al. Headache 2011</li> <li>Per cent of patients with chronic migraine who responded per onabotulinumtoxinA treatment cycle: PREEMPT. Silberstein SD, et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2015</li> <li>OnabotulinumtoxinA for treatment of chronic migraine: pooled results from the double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phases of the PREEMPT clinical program. Dodick DW, et al. Headache. 2010</li> <li>OnabotulinumtoxinA for chronic migraine: efficacy, safety, and tolerability in patients who received all five treatment cycles in the PREEMPT clinical program. Aurora SK et al. Acta Neurol Scand 2014</li> <li>Pooled analysis of the safety and tolerability of onabotulinumtoxinA in the treatment of chronic migraine. Diener H et al. European Journal of Neurology 2014</li> <li>OnabotulinumtoxinA improves quality of life and reduces impact of chronic migraine over one year of treatment: Pooled results from the PREEMPT randomized clinical trial program Lipton RB et al. Cephalalgia 2016</li> <li>The impact of onabotulinumtoxinA on severe headache days: PREEMPT 56-week pooled analysis. Matharu M et al. The Journal of Headache and Pain 2017</li> </ul>	
Study type and design	<ul> <li>Phase III with a 24-week, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled phase followed by a 32-week, open-label phase.</li> <li>Qualified subjects were randomized (1:1) in a double-blind fashion to onabotulinumtoxinA or placebo. Randomization was stratified based on the frequency of acute headache pain medication use during baseline (designated as "medication overuse–yes" or "medication overuse–no"), with treatments balanced in blocks of four within each medication-overuse stratum for each investigator site. The randomization sequence was generated using SAS programming language (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and was stored in a central server with access granted to the randomization programmers. The study is completed.</li> </ul>	



Deputation (inclusion and			
Population (inclusion and exclusion criteria)	Inclusion Criteria:		
,	• Frequent migraine (≥15 headache day	s per month)	
	• ≥4 distinct headache episodes lasting		
	• ≥50% of baseline headache days migra	aine/probable migra	ine days
	Exclusion Criteria:		
	Previous use of botulinum toxin of any botulinum toxin serotype		
	Any medical condition that puts the pa BOTOX	atient at increased r	isk with exposure to
	Diagnosis of complicated migraine, ch headache, hemicrania continua, new o		
	Use of prophylactic headache medicat		
	Unremitting headache lasting continue period	ously throughout th	e 4-week baseline
	Known or suspected TMD		
	Diagnosis of fibromyalgia		
	Beck depression inventory score >24 a	at week-4	
	• Psychiatric problems that may have in	terfered with study	participation
Intervention	Biological: Botulinum Toxin Type A		
	Two treatment sessions in the double-	blind phase and thre	e treatment
	sessions in the open-label extension ph	-	
	31 fixed-site, fixed dose injections acro		
	areas with the total maximum dose of	-	
	Other Name: BOTOX <sup>®</sup>		
	Other: Placebo (saline)		
	Two treatment sessions in the double-		
	with 31 fixed-site, fixed dose injections		
	areas and the total maximum dose is 1		
Baseline characteristics		Placebo	Botulinum Toxin
			Type A
		N= 358	N= 347
	Age	41.0	40.9
	Female, % MMD (SD)	84.6 18.7 (4.1)	86.2
	% patients with 1 or more prophylaxis	66.2	19.2 (3.9) 64.0
	Mean BMI	27.1	26.7
	% patients with medication overuse	69.8	66.3
Primary and secondary	The primary efficacy endpoint was mean c		
endpoints	headache days for the 28-day period endir	-	in nequency of
	Secondary:		
	• Frequency of migraine days (defined as a calendar day with ≥4 continuous		
	hours of headache meeting ICHD-II criteria for migraine 1.1, 1.2 or 1.6)		
	Frequency of moderate/severe headache days (defined as a calendar day		
	with 4 continuous hours of headache and a maximum severity of moderate or		
	severe, per the patient diary among all headache episodes reported on that		
	day regardless of duration)		
	Monthly cumulative headache ho		-
	Proportion of patients with severe	e (≥60) Headache Irr	ipact Test (HIT)-6 score



	Frequency of headache episodes (defined as patient-reported headache with
	a start and stop time indicating that the pain lasted $\geq$ 4 continuous hours).
Method of analysis	All efficacy analyses used the intent-to-treat population, which included all
	randomized patients. For each primary and secondary variable, prespecified
	comparisons between treatment groups were done by analysis of covariance of the
	change from baseline, with the same variable's baseline value as a covariate, with
	main effects of treatment group and medication overuse strata. The baseline
	covariate adjustment was prespecified as the primary analysis; sensitivity analyses
	(e.g., rank-sum test on changes from baseline without a baseline covariate) were also
	performed. Scores for months with at least 20 days of diary data were prorated to 28-
	day equivalents. Scores for months with less than 10 days of diary data were
	estimated using a modified last observation carried forward (mLOCF) methodology.
	This involved the substitution of the patient's previous 28-day period score multiplied
	by the ratio of the mean across all patients in the 28-day period of interest divided by
	the mean across all patients in the previous 28-day period. Scores for months with 10-
	19 days of diary data were estimated using an average of the prorated and the mLOCF
	estimates. The mLOCF method of imputation of missing data was prespecified, but
	sensitivity analyses were also done (e.g., using observed data, without imputation).
	For binomial variables, the between-group comparisons were done with Pearson's
	Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests, except that logistic regression, with the same
	variable's baseline as covariate, was used for variables with baseline imbalance. A
	two-sided test with $p \le .05$ was considered statistically significant.
	To control the type 1 error rate for multiple secondary endpoints in the amended
	PREEMPT 2 protocol and analysis plan, a fixed-sequence gate-keeping approach was
	used for the five ranked secondary variables at the week 24 primary visit. If the p value
	of a secondary endpoint was not ≤.05, the tests of any lower-ranked secondary
	endpoints were not considered statistically significant, regardless of individual p value.
Subgroup analyses	None

### TABEL 29 FREITAG 2008

Trial name	Botulinum Toxin Type A in the treatment of Chronic Migraine Without Medication Overuse
NCT number	None.
Objective	The objective of this study was to assess the efficacy and safety of Botulinum Toxin Type A compared with placebo in the treatments of chronic migraine not associated with medication overuse headache
Publications – title, author, journal, year	Botulinum toxin type a in the treatment of chronic migraine without medication overuse, Freitag FG. et al. Headache, 2008
Study type and design	This was a double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled randomized study. 28 days screening phase, 16 week study. Patients were blind to their treatment allocation and randomized to active or placebo treatment using a list generated in Microsoft Excel (Redmond, WA, USA). The study medication BoNTA or placebo was prepared by a registered nurse in the research department familiar with the preparation of BoNTA following the preassigned randomization schedule. The research nurse responsible for the monitoring of the patient, review of diary logs, and completion of case report forms was different from the nurse preparing the study medication.
Follow-up time	Primay analysis after 16 weeks.



Demulation (in shusian and	la chucie a Cuite rie :				
Population (inclusion and					
exclusion criteria)	<ul> <li>Frequent migraine (≥15 headache days per month)</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>≥4 distinct headache episodes lasting ≥4 hours</li> </ul>				
	6 month chronic migraine history				
	Stable preventive medication	s for 60 days			
	Exclusion Criteria:				
	<ul> <li>Previous use of botulinum toxin of any serotype for any therapeutic reason.</li> <li>Myasthenia gravis, Eaton-Lambert syndrome, Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis,</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Other disorder of neuron</li> <li>Curare-like agents, Other function</li> <li>Patients with diagnoses of years, cluster headaches exclusively having migrain</li> <li>Patients with a more pair neurological disorders, or trauma, or past infection</li> <li>Patients who had receive prior to the baseline diar</li> <li>Patients with a significant or receiving antipsychotic Depression Scores greated</li> </ul>	nuscular function, U r agents that might in of migraine beginnin or basilar, ophthalm ne aura without hea nful condition than t r a structural disorde ed injections or oral o y initiation visit t major psychiatric o c medication, or who er than 24 red an investigationa	se of aminoglycoside antibiotics nterfere with neuromuscular g for the first time after age 50 noplegic, or hemiplegic migraine, dache heir migraine pain, progressive er of the brain from birth, corticosteroids within 30 days lisorder (eg, major depression)		
	device within 30 days of s	study entry			
Intervention	Biological: Botulinum Toxin Type A or placebo (sterile saline).	(BOTOX <sup>®</sup> , Allergan,	Inc., Irvine, CA, USA) 100 U		
Baseline characteristics		Placebo	Botulinum Toxin		
			Туре А		
		N= 21	N= 20		
	Age, years (range)	42.4 (22-55)	42.2 (19-64)		
	Female/male	15/6	15/5		
	Caucasian/other	20/1	18/2		
	Monthly migraine episodes, n	14.6	13.8		
	Monthly headache days, n	23	23		
	Acute medication doses per month, n (range)	21 (5-36)	19 (5-46)		
Drimony and secondary		as the change in me	nthly migraine onice de		
Primary and secondary	The primary efficacy parameter w	-			
endpoints	frequency per 4-week assessment period compared with baseline. Secondary efficacy				
	parameters also assessed change from the baseline by 4-week assessment periods for				
	the BoNTA and placebo groups.				
	Secondary:				
	<ul> <li>Change in number of total headache days</li> </ul>				
	The headache index (HAI) (the HAI being calculated by multiplying the				
	maximal severity of a headache in a headache days times the duration of the				
	-		headache in fraction of the 24-hour day the headache was experienced by		
	headache in fraction of the	he 24-hour day the h			
	headache in fraction of the patient, summing the	he 24-hour day the head	laches for the evaluation period		
	headache in fraction of the	he 24-hour day the head	laches for the evaluation period		
	headache in fraction of the patient, summing the then dividing by the num	he 24-hour day the h e total of all the head ber of the days in th	laches for the evaluation period		
	headache in fraction of the patient, summing the then dividing by the num	he 24-hour day the h e total of all the head ber of the days in th (the percentage of p	daches for the evaluation period e evaluation period). atients who experience a 50% or		



	<ul> <li>Change in MIDAS, and change in the Headache Pain Specific Quality of Life measure. Safety and tolerability (AEs) in each treatment group.</li> </ul>
Method of analysis	Not applicable since the endpoints for this application are not the same as those analyzed in the publication
Subgroup analyses	None